## Definition 3.2.12. Inverse of a Relation

Suppose $R \subseteq A \times B$ is a relation between $A$ and $B$ then the inverse relation $R^{-1} \subseteq$ $B \times A$ is defined as the relation between $B$ and $A$ and is given by

$$
b R^{-1} a \quad \text { if and only if } \quad a R b .
$$

That is, $R^{-1}=\{(b, a) \in B \times A:(a, b) \in R\}$.
Example 3.2.13. Let $R$ be the relation between $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$defined by $m R n$ if and only if $m^{2}=n$.
Then

$$
R=\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+}: m^{2}=n\right\}
$$

and

$$
R^{-1}=\left\{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}: m^{2}=n\right\} .
$$

For example, $-3 R 9,-4 R 16,16 R^{-1} 4,9 R^{-1} 3$, etc.

## Remark 3.2.14.

If $R$ is partial order relation on $A \neq \emptyset$, then $R^{-1}$ is also partial order relation on $A$.

## Proof.

(i) Reflexive. Let $x \in A$.
$\Rightarrow(x, x) \in R$ (Reflexivity of $A) \Rightarrow(x, x) \in R^{-1} \quad\left(\right.$ Def of $\left.R^{-1}\right)$
(ii) Antisymmetric. Let $(x, y) \in R^{-1}$ and $(y, x) \in R^{-1}$. To prove $x=y$.
$\Rightarrow(y, x) \in R \wedge(x, y) \in R\left(\right.$ Def of $\left.R^{-1}\right)$
$\Rightarrow y=x$ (since $R$ is antisymmetric).
(iii) Transitive. Let $(x, y) \in R^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \in R^{-1}$. To prove $(x, z) \in R^{-1}$.
$\Rightarrow(y, x) \in R \wedge(z, y) \in R\left(\operatorname{Def}\right.$ of $\left.R^{-1}\right)$
$\Rightarrow(z, x) \in R$ (since $R$ is transitive) $\Rightarrow(x, z) \in R^{-1}$ (Def of $R^{-1}$ ).

## Definition 3.2.15. Partitions

Let $A$ be a set and let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be subsets of $A$ such
(i) $A_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i$,
(ii) $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$,
(iii) $A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}$. Then the sets $A_{i}$ partition the set $A$ and these sets are called the classes of the partition.
Remark 3.2.16. An equivalence relation on $A$ leads to a partition of $A$, and vice versa for every partition of $A$ there is a corresponding equivalence relation.

## Definition 3.2.17. The Composition of Two Relations

The composition of two relations $R_{1}(A, B)$ and $R_{2}(B, C)$ is given by $R_{2}$ o $R_{1}$ where
$(a, c) \in R_{2} o R_{1}$ if and only there exists $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in R_{1}$ and $(b, c) \in$ $R_{2}$.

Remark 3.2.18. The composition of relations is associative; that is,

$$
\left(R_{3} o R_{2}\right) o R_{1}=R_{3} o\left(R_{2} o R_{1}\right)
$$

## Example 3.2.19.

(i) Let sets $A=\{a, b, c\}, B=\{d, e, f\}, C=\{g, h, i\}$ and relations $R(A, B)=$ $\{(a, d),(a, f),(b, d),(c, e)\}$ and $S(B, C)=\{(d, h),(d, i),(e, g),(e, h)\}$. Then we graph these relations and show how to determine the composition pictorially $S$ o $R$ is determined by choosing $x \in A$ and $y \in C$ and checking if there is a route from $x$ to $y$ in the graph. If so, we join $x$ to $y$ in $S o R$.
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For example, if we consider $a$ and $h$ we see that there is a path from $a$ to $d$ and from $d$ to $h$ and therefore $(a, h)$ is in the composition of $S$ and $R$.
(ii) Let $R^{-1}=\{(b, a) \mid(a, b) \in R\}$. The composition of $R$ and $R^{-1}$ yields: $R^{-1}$ o $R=\{(a, a) \mid a \in \operatorname{dom} R\}=i_{A}$ and $R$ o $R^{-1}=\left\{(b, b) \mid b \in \operatorname{dom} R^{-1}\right\}=i_{B}$.

## Definition 3.2.19. Union and Intersection of Relations

(i) The union of two relations $R_{1}(A, B)$ and $R_{2}(A, B)$ is subset of $A \times B$ and defined as

$$
(a, b) \in R_{1} \cup R_{2} \text { if and only if }(a, b) \in R_{1} \text { or }(a, b) \in R_{2}
$$

(ii) The intersection of two relations $R_{1}(A, B)$ and $R_{2}(A, B)$ is subset of $A \times B$ and defined as

$$
(a, b) \in R_{1} \cap R_{2} \text { if and only if }(a, b) \in R_{1} \text { and }(a, b) \in R_{2} .
$$

Remark 3.2.20. The relation $R_{1}$ is a subset of $R_{2}\left(R_{1} \subseteq R_{2}\right)$ if whenever $(a, b) \in$ $R_{1}$ then $(a, b) \in R_{2}$.

