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## THE GREEK ALPHABET

| leter | name | capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | Alpha | A |
| $\beta$ | Beta | B |
| $\gamma$ | Gamma | $\Gamma$ |
| $\delta$ | Delta | $\Delta$ |
| $\varepsilon$ | Epsilon | E |
| $\zeta$ | Zeta | Z |
| $\eta$ | Eta | H |
| $\theta$ | Theta | $\Theta$ |
| 1 | lota | I |
| к | Kappa | K |
| $\lambda$ | Lambda | $\Lambda$ |
| $\mu$ | Mu | M |
| $v$ | Nu | N |
| $\xi$ | Xi | $\Xi$ |
| 0 | Omicron | 0 |
| $\pi$ | Pi | $\Pi$ |
| $\rho$ | Rho | P |
| $\sigma$ ¢ | Sigma | $\Sigma$ |
| $\tau$ | Tau | T |
| $v$ | Upsilon | r |
| $\phi$ | Phi | $\Phi$ |
| $\chi$ | Chi | X |
| $\Psi$ | Psi | $\Psi$ |
| $\omega$ | Omega | $\Omega$ |

## Chapter One Logic Theory

### 1.1. Logic

## Definition 1.1.1

(i) Logic is the theory of systematic reasoning and symbolic logic is the formal theory of logic.
(ii) A logical proposition (statement or formula) is a declarative sentence that is either true (denoted either T or 1) or false (denoted either F or 0) but not both.

Notation: Variables are used to represent logical propositions. The most common variables used are $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$, and r .

Example 1.1.2.
$x+2=2 x$ when $x=-2$.
All cars are brown.
$2 \times 2=5$.

Here are some sentences that are not logical propositions (paradox).
Look out! (Exclamatory)
How far is it to the next town? (Interrogative)
$x+2=2 x$.
"Do you want to go to the movies?" (Interrogative)
"Clean up your room." (Imperative)

### 1.2. Truth Table

### 1.2.1. What is a Truth Table?

(i) A truth table is a tool that helps you analyze statements or arguments (defined later) in order to verify whether or not they are logical, or true.
(ii) A truth table of a logical proposition shows the condition under which the logical proposition is true and those under which it is false.

There are six basic operations called connectives that you will utilize when creating a truth table. These operations are given below.

| English Name | Math Name | Symbol |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| "and" | Conjunction | $\wedge$ |
| "or" | Disjunction | $\vee$ |
| "Exclusive"= "or but not both" | xor | $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ |
| "if ... then" | Implication | $\rightarrow$ |
| "if and only if" | equivalence | $\leftrightarrow$ |
| "not" | Negation | $\sim$ |

## Definition 1.2.2. (Compound Statement)

If two or more logical propositions compound by connectives called compound proposition (statement).

The rules for these connectives (operations) are as follows:
AND ( $\wedge$ ) (conjunction): these statements are true only when both p and q are

| AND $\wedge($ Conjunction $)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | q | $\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q}$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

OR (V) (disjunction): these statements are false only when both p and q are false.

| OR $\vee$ (Disjunction) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | q | $\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

## Exclusive ( $\underline{v}$ ) one of $\mathbf{p}$ or $q$ (read $p$ or else $q$ )

| $\underline{v}$ |  | (Exclusive) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | q | $\mathrm{p} \underline{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{q}$ |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

If $\rightarrow$ Then Statements - These statements are false only when $p$ is true and $q$ is false (because anything can follow from a false premise).

Equivalent Forms of ( $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ ) read as:
If $p$ then $q$ ":
p implies q
p is a sufficient condition for q
$q$ if $p$
$q$ whenever $p$
q is a necessary condition for p .

| If $\rightarrow$ Then |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | q | $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

Here, p called hypothesis (antecedent) and q called consequent (conclusion).

Note that the statements $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ are different.
If and only If Statements - These statements are true only when both $p$ and $q$ have the same truth (logical) values.

| If $\leftrightarrow$ Then |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | q | $\mathrm{p} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{q}$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | T |

NOT ~ (negation) The "not" is simply the opposite or complement of its original value.

| NOT $\sim$ (negation) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| P | $\sim \mathrm{p}$ |
| T | F |
| F | T |

Note that, the negation is meaningful when used with only one logical proposition. This is not true of the other connectives.

Examples 1.2.3. Write the following statements symbolically, and then make a truth table for the statements.
(i) If I go to the mall or go to the stadium, then I will not go to the gym.
(ii) If the fish is cooked, then dinner is ready and I am hungry.

## Solution.

(i) Suppose we set
$\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{I}$ go to the mall
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{I}$ go to the stadium
$\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{I}$ will go to the gym
The proposition can then be expressed as "If $p$ or $q$, then not $r$," or $(p \vee q) \rightarrow \sim r$.

| p | q | r | $\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}$ | $\sim \mathrm{r}$ | $(\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}) \rightarrow \sim \mathrm{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | F | F |
| T | T | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | F |
| T | F | F | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | F | F | T |
| F | F | F | F | T | T |

(ii) Suppose we set
$\mathrm{f}=$ the fish is cooked.
$r=$ dinner is ready.
$\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{I}$ am hungry.
(a) $f \rightarrow(r \wedge h)$
(b) $(\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{r}) \wedge \mathrm{h}$

| f | r | h | $\mathrm{r} \wedge \mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{f} \rightarrow(\mathrm{r} \wedge \mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{r}$ | $(\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{r}) \wedge \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | F | F | F | T | F |
| T | F | T | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | F | T | T | F |
| F | F | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | F | T | T | F |

Exercise 1.2,4.
Build a truth table for $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow(\mathrm{q} \rightarrow \mathrm{r})$ and $(\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{r}$.

