



Statistics Department
For Master student /Lesson
(6)

Analysis of Experimental Design
- chapter Five
(Latin Square Design)
- Chapter Six
(Graeco Latin Square Design)

Assnt.prof.
Dr. Suhad Ali Shaheed
2020/2019



chapter Five CH. 5

LATIN SQUARE DESIGNS

A Latin square design involves ($p \geq 2$) treatment and the experimental units are arranged according to two blocking factors which we label as rows and columns.

There are (p) rows and (p) columns each treatment appears exactly once in each row and each column.

As an illustration consider a Latin square design with ($p=3$), one possible such LSD follows.

A	B	C
B	C	A
C	A	B

We note that other Latin Squares are possible by assigning the treatments in a different order. Ideally in practice one should choose the Latin square at random. We also note that only some of the row, column and treatment combination are present in a Latin square. If all such combination were present in a Latin square then (p^3) observations should be required. A Latin square requires only (p^2) observation.

To facilitate the Analysis of data from an LSD we introduce a model to explain the variation in the results. we let $Y_{ij}(k)$ represent the response to treatment i -th row and j -th column. The subscript (k) is placed in parentheses to indicate that (k) depends on $(i \text{ and } j)$, that is only one (k) value corresponds to a particular (ij) combination, our model is then

$$Y_{ij}(k) = \mu + f_i + \gamma_j + \tau_k + \epsilon_{ij}(k)$$

$$i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$$

where:

μ : is the overall average response to all treatments.

f_i : is the effect of i -th row.

γ_j : is the effect of j -th column.

τ_k : is the effect of k -th treatment.

$\epsilon_{ij}(k)$: is the random error associated with the k -th treatment applied to i -th row and j -th column with

$E(\epsilon_{ij}(k)) = 0$ and $\text{var}(\epsilon_{ij}(k)) = \sigma^2$
for every i and j . Assumed that $\epsilon_{ij}(k)$ are uncorrelated.

We note that this model implies that

$$E(Y_{ij}(k)) = \mu + \mu_i + \mu_j + \tau_k \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{var}(Y_{ij}(k)) = \sigma^2$$

All observations have the same variance but the mean can vary according to the row, column and treatment.

- Least Squares Results:

We first note that the model for an LSD is a linear model and can be written as $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$. To illustrate this fact consider the LSD when $(p=3)$ with observations described as follows:

$Y_{11}(1)$	$Y_{12}(2)$	$Y_{13}(3)$
$Y_{21}(2)$	$Y_{22}(3)$	$Y_{23}(1)$
$Y_{31}(3)$	$Y_{32}(1)$	$Y_{33}(2)$

The model in matrix form is

$$\underline{Y} = \underline{X}\beta + \underline{\epsilon}$$

$Y_{11}(1)$		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	μ	$E_{11}(1)$
$Y_{12}(2)$		1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	f_1	$E_{12}(2)$
$Y_{13}(3)$		1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	f_2	$E_{13}(3)$
$Y_{21}(2)$		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	f_3	$E_{21}(2)$
$Y_{22}(3)$	=	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	$\delta_1 +$	$E_{22}(3)$
$Y_{23}(1)$		1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	δ_2	$E_{23}(1)$
$Y_{31}(3)$		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	δ_3	$E_{31}(3)$
$Y_{32}(1)$		1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	Z_1	$E_{32}(1)$
$Y_{33}(2)$		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	Z_2	$E_{33}(2)$
												Z_3	

As expected, the (X) matrix is not full rank. Note that the sum of column 2, 3, 4 equals column one. The sum is true for columns 4, 5, 6 and column 7, 8, 9. As a consequence, the rank of (X) for this example is $1 + (3-1) + (3-1) + (3-1) = 7$. It is easy to generalize and conclude that the rank of X for any LSP will be $1 + 3(p-1) = 3p - 2$.

To find the normal equation, we need some notation. Our sample form for the model is:

$$Y_{ij}(k) = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_k + \epsilon_{ij}(k) \quad \rightarrow \epsilon_{ij}, k = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

As before we let $Y_{i..}$, $Y_{.j.}$ and $Y_{..k}$ represent the sum of the observation in the i th row, j th column, and k th treatment.

$Y_{...}$ is the sum of all p^2 observations. To denote the corresponding average we use bars over the symbol, with this notation it

can be shown the set of normal equations for the LSD in general is

$$pm + p \sum_{i=1}^p r_i + p \sum_{j=1}^p c_j + p \sum_{k=1}^p t_k = \bar{y} \dots$$

$$pm + p r_i + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j + \sum_{k=1}^p t_k = \bar{y}_i \dots \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$pm + \sum_{i=1}^p r_i + p c_j + \sum_{k=1}^p t_k = \bar{y}_j \dots \quad j=1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$pm + \sum_{i=1}^p r_i + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j + p t_k = \bar{y}_k \dots \quad k=1, 2, \dots, p$$

Since the (X) matrix is rank deficient by three we need three side conditions to obtain a unique solution, if we impose the conditions:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p r_i = \sum_{j=1}^p c_j = \sum_{k=1}^p t_k = 0 \quad \text{on the system we}$$

obtain the solution set

$$m = \bar{y} \dots$$

$$r_i = \bar{y}_i - \bar{y} \dots \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$c_j = \bar{y}_j - \bar{y} \dots \quad j=1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$t_k = \bar{y}_k - \bar{y} \dots \quad k=1, 2, \dots, p$$

we can now find a formula for

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p (y_{ij}(k) - \hat{y}_{ij}(k))^2 \quad \text{and since}$$

$$\hat{y}_{ij}(k) = m + r_i + c_j + t_k \quad \text{then}$$

$$= \bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_j + \bar{y}_k - 2\bar{y} \dots \quad \text{it follows that}$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p (y_{ij}(k) - \bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j - \bar{y}_k + 2\bar{y} \dots)^2$$

The degree of freedom for SSE is
 $n - \text{rank}(X) = p^2 - (3p-2) = (p-1)(p-2)$

The error mean square for an LSD is then

$$S^2 = \text{SSE} / (p-1)(p-2)$$

To find least squares estimates for quantities, we first need to identify what is estimable.

- Inference for an LSD :-

To make statistical inference for data from an LSD we need to add assumption that the ϵ_{ijk} 's are independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables. We first turn our attention to the F -test and ANOVA table for an LSD. To test H_0 : No differences in treatment effects we use the principle of conditional error.

The hypothesis $H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_p$ can be written in the form of a general linear hypothesis

$$H_0: L\beta = 0$$

Here L is a $(p-1) \times (3p+1)$ matrix such that $L\beta$ contains as its $(p-1)$ rows the linear independent estimable functions $\tau_2 - \tau_1, \tau_3 - \tau_1, \dots, \tau_p - \tau_1$.

Imposing the hypothesis $L\beta = 0$ of the full model we obtain the reduce model.

$$Y_{ij}(k) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}(k) \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p \quad -90-$$

Since there is only one k -value for each (i, j) pair, we observe that this reduced model is an RCBD model, using the notation for an LSD we arrive at.

$$SSE^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p (Y_{ij}(k) - \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{.j} + \bar{Y}_{...})^2$$

The treatment sum of Squares

$$SSTR = SSE^* - SSE$$

We know that $\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \tau_k$ is estimable for every (i, j, k) for which an observation is present.

We can now find the BLUE for a treatment contrast $\sum_{k=1}^p C_k \tau_k$, $\sum_{k=1}^p C_k = 0$

Using the solution for the N.E. the BLUE for the contrast is

$$\sum_{k=1}^p C_k (\bar{Y}_{..k} - \bar{Y}_{...}) = \sum_{k=1}^p C_k \bar{Y}_{..k}$$

To find the standard error we first find the variance. Since observations are independent we obtain

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^p C_k \bar{Y}_{..k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^p C_k^2 \text{var}(\bar{Y}_{..k}) = \sum_{k=1}^p C_k^2 \sigma^2 / p$$

-91-

Replace σ^2 by S^2 and take the Square root to obtain the standard error.

$$S.E. \left(\sum_{k=1}^p C_k \bar{y}_{..k} \right) = \sqrt{(S^2/p) \left(\sum_{k=1}^p C_k^2 \right)}$$

where

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p (y_{ij(k)} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{..k} + 2\bar{y}_{...})^2$$

we arrive at

$$SSTR = p \sum_{k=1}^p (\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

Hence the statistic used to test

$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_p$ is

$$F = \frac{SSTR / (p-1)}{S^2} = \frac{MSTR}{MSE}$$

we reject H_0 if $F \geq F_{\alpha, p-1, (p-1)(p-2)}$

Note that this $SSTR$ is actually an adjusted sum of Squares, as we shall discover later on an LSD is orthogonal. As a consequence the adjusted and unadjusted sum of Squares are identical.

In a similar manner the hypothesis

$H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_p$ can be tested using the statistic

$$F = \frac{SS_{ro} / (p-1)}{S^2} = \frac{MS_{ro}}{MSE}$$

-92-

where

$$SS_{ro} = p \sum_{i=1}^p (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p y_{i..}^2}{p} - \frac{y_{...}^2}{p^2}$$

is called the row sum of Squares.

Also the hypothesis $H_0: \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_p$ can be tested using the statistics

$$F = \frac{SS_{co} / (p-1)}{S^2} = \frac{MS_{co}}{MSE}$$

where

$$SS_{co} = p \sum_{j=1}^p (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p y_{.j.}^2}{p} - \frac{y_{...}^2}{p^2}$$

is called the column Sum of Squares.

$$SSE = SST - SS_{ro} - SS_{co} - SS_{tr}$$

We now easy to find a confidence interval for any treatment contrast and, in particular, for a pairwise treatment difference.

In a similar way t-test can be obtained. These results are summarized as follows

function

Confidence Interval

$$\tau_k = \tau_t$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \tau_k = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \tau_0$$

$$\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{..t} \pm t_{\alpha/2, (p-1)(p-2)} \sqrt{\frac{25^2}{p}}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \bar{y}_{..k} \pm t_{\alpha/2, (p-1)(p-2)} \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p c_k^2}$$

Null hypothesis

Test statistics

$$H_0: \tau_k - \tau_t = \delta$$

$$t = (\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{..t} - \delta) / \sqrt{\frac{25^2}{p}}$$

$$H_0: \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \tau_k = \delta, \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \tau_0$$

$$t = \left(\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \bar{y}_{..k} - \delta \right) / \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p c_k^2}$$

All rejection regions are based upon a t -distribution with $(p-1)(p-2)$ degrees of freedom. For multiple comparisons of the treatments we consider the Tukey procedure. Since $\bar{y}_{..1}, \bar{y}_{..2}, \dots, \bar{y}_{..p}$ are independent normal random variables with variance (σ^2/p) , we can use the Tukey procedure it is summarized in $(100(1-\alpha)\%)$ simultaneous confidence Interval for all pairs $(\tau_k - \tau_t)$:

$$(\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{..t}) \pm q_{\alpha, p, (p-1)(p-2)} \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{p}}$$

Multiple comparison tests for $H_0: \tau_k = \tau_t$

Decide $\tau_k \neq \tau_t$ if $|\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{..t}| > q_{\alpha, p, (p-1)(p-2)} \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{p}}$

- Expected Mean Squares :-

Recall from Theorem the formula

$$E(MS) = \sigma^2 + W/q$$

where W is the expression for the Sum of Squares when the \bar{y} 's are replaced by their expected values and (q) is the degrees of freedom for the mean square. To find $E(MSTR)$ we recall that

$$SSTR = p \sum_{k=1}^p (\bar{y}_{..k} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 \quad \text{therefore}$$

$$W = p \sum_{k=1}^p [E(\bar{y}_{..k}) - E(\bar{y}_{...})]^2$$

For a LSD

$$E(\bar{y}_{...}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_{ij(k)} / p^2 = \mu + \bar{\mu} + \bar{\delta} + \bar{\tau}$$

where $\bar{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i / p$; $\bar{\delta} = \sum_{j=1}^p \delta_j / p$ and

$$\bar{\tau} = \sum_{k=1}^p \tau_k / p$$

Similarly $E(\bar{y}_{..k}) = \mu + \bar{\mu} + \bar{\delta} + \tau_k$

therefore $W = p \sum_{k=1}^p (\tau_k - \bar{\tau})^2$ we then obtain

$$E(MSTR) = \sigma^2 + p \sum_{k=1}^p (\tau_k - \bar{\tau})^2 / (p-1)$$

In a completely analogous manner we can obtain expressions for the expected mean square for rows and columns.

ANOVA for LSD with $E(MS)$

S.o.v	d.f	S.S	E.MS
Rows	$p-1$	$\sum_{i=1}^p y_{i\cdot}^2 / p - \frac{y_{\dots}^2}{p^2}$	$\sigma^2 + p \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}{p-1}$
column	$p-1$	$\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{y_{\cdot j}^2}{p} - \frac{y_{\dots}^2}{p^2}$	$\sigma^2 + p \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p (\bar{y}_j - \bar{y})^2}{p-1}$
treatment	$p-1$	$\sum_{k=1}^p \frac{y_{\cdot k}^2}{p} - \frac{y_{\dots}^2}{p^2}$	$\sigma^2 + p \frac{\sum_{k=1}^p (\bar{t}_k - \bar{y})^2}{p-1}$
Error	$(p-1)(p-2)$	$SSE = SST - SSR - SSC - SSTr$	σ^2
Total	$p^2 - 1$	$\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{\dots}^2}{p^2}$	

- The Restricted LSD Models -

Some authors include the usual side condition as part of the model, we have referred to this as the restricted model. For the (LSD) the restricted model is:

$$Y_{ij}(k) = \mu + \beta_i + \gamma_j + \tau_k + \epsilon_{ij}(k) \quad \epsilon_{ij}(k) = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i = 0 \quad ; \quad \sum_{j=1}^p \gamma_j = 0 \quad ; \quad \sum_{k=1}^p \tau_k = 0$$

As we have noted before, for the restricted model all parameters are individually estimable; we observe that the BLUE for μ , β_i , γ_j and τ_k are $\bar{y}_{...}$, $\bar{y}_{i...} - \bar{y}_{...}$, $\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...}$ and $\bar{y}_{.k.} - \bar{y}_{...}$.

In this restricted case the hypothesis of no treatment effect becomes.

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_k \quad \text{Also}$$

$$E(MSTR) = \sigma^2 + p \frac{\sum_{k=1}^p \tau_k^2}{p-1}$$

It should be noted that there is no difference in the usual analysis for an (LSD) based on the unrestricted model versus the restricted model.

- Design Considerations -

The Latin Square offers certain advantages:

- The experimental error can possibly be reduced by incorporating two blocking factors into the design effective blocking results in more powerful tests and tighter confidence intervals.

- The analysis of the data is fairly simple and can easily be done even without a computer.

- The design is orthogonal

- The treatment means are comparable

- This three factor design only requires p^3 observations rather than the p^3 observations required if all possible row, column, treatment combinations are present.

There are also some disadvantages to the (LSD):

- The number of levels of all three factors must be the same.

- Not all row, column, treatment combinations are present in the design, some of those missing may be important possibilities as they might be the optimal condition.

- The error degrees of freedom is small when $(p \leq 4)$

- The model is an additive one, there is no easy way to check for interaction between the factors.

The LSD is often used in drug studies. In some problems a subject is given several drugs and we might be concerned about possible order effects of the drugs. An LSD can be used to help (even out) the order effect of the drug.

As an example consider the case where there are four drugs A, B, C, D and each subject

will use each drug in some order, if we use $p=4$ where the treatments are the drugs, the rows are the patients and the columns are the order in which the drug is taken, then the resulting structure could be as follows:

	order for Drug			
	A	B	D	C
Subject	C	A	B	D
	D	C	A	B
	B	D	C	A

Notice that the LSD ensures that each drug is used in each order exactly once we should point out however that the LSD does not allow for representation of all $4! = 24$ different order for the drugs.

Designs that are used to incorporate order effects are often called crossover designs.

We mentioned that when p is small the degrees of freedom for error for a Latin Square is small one remedy for this problem is to replicate the latin square. For example if $p=2$ a design in which the latin square is replicated three times would appear as follows:

replication (1)

A	B
B	A

replication (2)

A	B
B	A

replication (3)

A	B
B	A

As an illustration consider a study to compare two varieties of wheat (A, B). In a replicate LSD our rows could represent a fertilizer level (Low, high) our columns could represent the pesticide level (none - some) and the replicate could represent different fields.

An extension of a Latin square to four factors can be accomplished by using a Graeco-Latin Square design. In such a design there are four factor three blocking factors and a treatment factors. Each treatment occurs once in every row, column and layer. Each layer occurs once in every row and column.

Exercises:

1- (Replicated Latin Square)

Consider a Latin square design with (p) rows, columns, and treatments. Suppose that this same design is replicated (q) times so that the levels of the rows and columns are the same for each replication. An illustration of this situation with $p=2$ and $q=3$ is given. A model for this replicated LSD is

$$Y_{ij(k)l} = \mu + \beta_i + \gamma_j + \tau_k + W_L + \epsilon_{ij(k)l}$$

where $Y_{ij(k)l}$ is the observation in the i th row, j th column, k th treatment in the l th replicated Latin square.

a - find the form of the ANOVA table for this replicated (LSD). -100-

b - Explain why the model and ANOVA table would change if the levels of the rows were different in each replication (This could occur if the row factor is subject and different subjects are used for each replication).

* Chapter Six * -101-
Graeco Latin Square Design (GLSD)

Two latin squares are orthogonal if when they are combined the same pair of symbols occurs no more than once in the composite squares

LSD ①	LSD ②	LSD ③
a ₁ a ₂ a ₃	b ₁ b ₂ b ₃	c ₂ c ₃ c ₁
a ₃ a ₁ a ₂	b ₂ b ₃ b ₁	c ₃ c ₁ c ₂
a ₂ a ₃ a ₁	b ₃ b ₁ b ₂	c ₁ c ₂ c ₃

The combined L.S. ① with L.S. ② is

a ₁ b ₁	a ₂ b ₂	a ₃ b ₃
a ₃ b ₂	a ₁ b ₃	a ₂ b ₁
a ₂ b ₃	a ₃ b ₁	a ₁ b ₂

Then Square ① and Square ② are orthogonal. This square is called a Graeco Latin Square.

I ₁	J ₂	J ₃	or	A ₁	B ₂	C ₃
J ₂	I ₃	J ₁		C ₂	A ₃	B ₁
J ₃	J ₁	I ₂		B ₃	C ₁	A ₂

The combined square ② with square ③

b ₁ c ₂	b ₂ c ₃	b ₃ c ₁
b ₂ c ₃	b ₃ c ₁	b ₁ c ₂
b ₃ c ₁	b ₁ c ₂	b ₂ c ₃

Square ② and Square ② are not orthogonal
not (G.L.S)

The model is

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + R_i + G_j + T_k + L_l + e_{ijkl}$$

$$i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

S.o.v	d.f
Rows	$p-1$
Columns	$p-1$
treatment	$p-1$
Letter Groups (add. treat)	$p-1$
Error	$(p-1)(p-3)$

Total $p^2 - 1$

Example: $p=4$

The treatments are A, B, C, D the
Groups letters are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$

R \ C	1	2	3	4
1	B_δ	C_α	A_γ	D_β
2	D_α	A_δ	C_β	B_γ
3	A_β	D_γ	B_α	C_δ
4	C_γ	B_β	D_δ	A_α

Example: $p=5$

A_α	B_β	C_γ	D_δ	E_ϵ	$\xrightarrow{\text{numbers}}$ $\alpha 5$	A_1	B_2	C_3	D_4	E_5
C_ϵ	D_α	E_β	A_γ	B_δ		C_5	D_1	E_2	A_3	B_4
E_δ	A_ϵ	B_α	C_β	D_γ		E_4	A_5	B_1	C_2	D_3
B_γ	C_δ	D_ϵ	E_α	A_β		B_3	C_4	D_5	E_1	A_2
D_β	E_γ	A_δ	B_ϵ	C_α		D_2	E_3	A_4	B_5	C_1

Another kinds of Design:

A research that test (5) effects but must satisfy the conditions of LS-D or GLS-D
 $p=4$ \rightarrow effects = 5

A_α	B_β	C_γ	D_δ	S.o.V	d.f
D_δ	C_α	B_β	A_γ	R	4-1
C_β	D_γ	A_δ	B_α	G	4-1
B_α	A_δ	D_β	C_γ	t ₁	4-1
A_γ	B_α	C_β	D_δ	t ₂	4-1
B_α	A_δ	D_β	C_γ	t ₃	4-1
				Error	0
				Total	15

we cannot make this Design only if $p=5$ and more than (5), Sometime we can partition one of the components to become Error term.

Remarks:

Cochran said if the amount $(\frac{P}{4})$ and the rest is (2) then the Design cannot be made or planned but if $(\frac{10}{4})$ and the rest (2) then we find this Design only and not final $p=6$.

Example:

If we have $p=10$ then $\frac{10}{4} = 2$ and the rest (2), the

Design is:

C/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	3 ₄	10 ₁	1 ₅	6 ₉	9 ₆	5 ₁₀	7 ₈	4 ₃	2 ₇	8 ₂
2	7 ₆	6 ₅	4 ₁₀	1 ₃	3 ₂	10 ₉	2 ₁	8 ₇	5 ₄	9 ₈
3	9 ₇	5 ₂	3 ₈	10 ₆	6 ₁₀	7 ₁	4 ₅	1 ₉	8 ₃	2 ₄
4	5 ₅	4 ₄	9 ₉	2 ₂	1 ₁	8 ₈	3 ₃	6 ₆	10 ₁₀	7 ₇
5	4 ₁	2 ₈	6 ₄	7 ₁₀	10 ₇	9 ₂	8 ₉	3 ₅	1 ₆	5 ₃
6	8 ₁₀	7 ₉	5 ₇	3 ₁	2 ₃	4 ₆	1 ₂	9 ₄	6 ₈	10 ₅
7	1 ₈	8 ₆	7 ₃	9 ₅	5 ₉	3 ₇	10 ₄	2 ₁₀	4 ₂	6 ₁
8	6 ₂	9 ₃	8 ₁	4 ₇	7 ₄	2 ₅	5 ₆	10 ₈	3 ₉	1 ₁₀
9	2 ₉	1 ₇	10 ₂	8 ₄	4 ₈	6 ₃	9 ₁₀	5 ₁	7 ₅	3 ₆
10	10 ₃	3 ₁₀	2 ₆	5 ₈	8 ₅	1 ₄	6 ₇	7 ₂	9 ₁	4 ₉

- row : Age
 - column : weight
 - treat. : medicine
 - latter/Grage : Doctor
- $n_{ij} = R$
 $c_{ij} = C$
 $t_{ij} = t$
 $c_{ij} = \dots$

Write a paper about GLSD find the model , normal equation, ANOVA table , test hypothesis confidence intervals, Reduce model, EMSTR.

**Thanks for lessening
Please Read Carefully and write the
answer of above questions**