

### Chapter Three

#### Estimation of the mean vector and the covariance matrix

#### 1. The maximum likelihood method

Given a sample of (vector) observations from  $p$ -variate (nondegenerate) normal distribution, we ask for estimators of the mean vector  $\underline{\mu}$  and the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  of the distribution, we shall deduce the maximum likelihood estimators.

Suppose of  $n$  observations of  $p$ -dimensional vectors  $\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n, \underline{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , are mutually independent each distribution  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$  where  $(n > p)$ . The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\underline{X}; \underline{\mu}, \Sigma) &= \prod_{j=1}^n f(\underline{X}_j; \underline{\mu}, \Sigma) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{X}_j - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{X}_j - \underline{\mu})} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\Sigma|^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{X}_j - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{X}_j - \underline{\mu})} \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function after taking the log is:

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\underline{x}; \underline{M}, \underline{\Sigma}) &= -\frac{np}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log |\underline{\Sigma}| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \underline{M})' \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_j - \underline{M}) \end{aligned}$$

In order to maximize the log-likelihood we first have to compute the partial derivative:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\underline{x}; \underline{M}, \underline{\Sigma})}{\partial \underline{M}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_j - \underline{M})$$

$$\text{Setting } \frac{\partial \log L(\underline{x}; \underline{M}, \underline{\Sigma})}{\partial \underline{M}} = 0$$

We obtain the maximum likelihood estimators as follows:

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \hat{\underline{M}}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \hat{\underline{M}}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \underline{x}_j = n \hat{\underline{M}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\underline{M}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \underline{x}_j = \bar{\underline{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } \bar{x}_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij}}{n}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p$$

We see that the maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of  $\underline{\mu}$  is the sample mean vector  $\bar{\underline{X}}$ .

In order to obtain the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\underline{\Sigma}$ , we derivative  $\log L$  with respect of  $\underline{\Sigma}$  as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\underline{x}; \underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})}{\partial \underline{\Sigma}} = -\frac{n}{2} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_j - \underline{\mu}) (\underline{x}_j - \underline{\mu})' \underline{\Sigma}^{-1}}{(\underline{x}_j - \underline{\mu})' \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x}_j - \underline{\mu})}$$

$$\text{Setting } \frac{\partial \log L(\underline{x}; \underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})}{\partial \underline{\Sigma}} = 0$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \hat{\underline{\mu}}) (\underline{x}_j - \hat{\underline{\mu}})' &= n \\ \Rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \bar{\underline{X}}) (\underline{x}_j - \bar{\underline{X}})' \end{aligned}$$

we see that the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\underline{\Sigma}$  is the sample covariance matrix  $\hat{\underline{\Sigma}}$ .

where  $\underline{x}_j = (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jp})'$  and the matrix of sums of squares and cross products of deviation about the mean  $\bar{\underline{X}}$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\underline{x}_j - \bar{\underline{X}}) (\underline{x}_j - \bar{\underline{X}})' = \sum_{j=1}^n \underline{x}_j \underline{x}_j' - n \bar{\underline{X}} \bar{\underline{X}}'$$

Estimation of the mean vector ( $\underline{\mu}$ )  
and the Variance-Covariance matrix ( $\Sigma$ ).

Def: Suppose we have a.r.s of size  $n$  from normal dist.  
 $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$  then Likelihood fun. can be written as  
follows:

$$L(x; \underline{\mu}, \Sigma) = \prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j; \underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_j - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})\right\} \right]$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\Sigma|^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})\right\}$$

دالة  
بافتة الاحتمال  
او الدالة  
بمعيار الكسفة بالنسبة الى  
المعلمات  
المعروفة (ن) بمتغير

$$\ln L = -\frac{np}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \underline{\mu}} = 0 \quad \dots \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \underline{\mu}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \underline{\mu}} = 0$$

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \underline{\mu})$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \underline{\mu}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j - n \underline{\mu} = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = n \underline{\mu}$$

$$\underline{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}{n}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\mu}} = \bar{\underline{x}}$$

$\therefore \bar{\underline{x}}$  is the m.l.e. for  $\underline{\mu}$ .

المصفوفة المتناظرة  $\Sigma$  هي مصفوفة  $n \times n$  متناظرة موجبة محددة. (1)  $\Sigma$  هي مصفوفة  $n \times n$  متناظرة موجبة محددة.  $\Sigma$  هي مصفوفة  $n \times n$  متناظرة موجبة محددة.

now replace  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$  in equ. (1) and then,

$$\ln L^* = -\frac{np}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})' \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L^*}{\partial \Sigma} = 0 \quad -\frac{n}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n -\Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})' \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L^*}{\partial \Sigma} = -\frac{n}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})' \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$\text{let } \frac{\partial \ln L^*}{\partial \Sigma} = 0 \quad \text{and,}$$

$$0 = -\frac{n}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})' \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$\text{let } \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})' \right] = A$$

$$0 = -\frac{n}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} A \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$\text{let } \frac{\partial \ln L^*}{\partial \Sigma} = 0 \quad \text{and,}$$

$$0 = -\frac{n}{2} \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} A \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma$$

$$0 = -\frac{n}{2} I + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} A$$

$$\frac{n}{2} = \Sigma^{-1} A$$

$$A = n \hat{\Sigma}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{A}{n}$  is the m.l.e of  $\Sigma$ , where A is the matrix of sums of squares and cross products.

$$\text{Not } \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})' = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_j x_j' - \bar{x} \bar{x}' = \sum x_j x_j' - n \bar{x} \bar{x}'$$

$$\text{Since } E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_j) = \frac{1}{n} n \cdot \underline{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\bar{X}) = \underline{\mu}$$

$\therefore$  The sample mean vector  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\underline{\mu}$ .

However,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{Z}) &= \frac{1}{n} E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})(X_j - \bar{X})' \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n X_j X_j' - n \bar{X} \bar{X}' \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_j X_j') - n E(\bar{X} \bar{X}') \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } E(X_j X_j') = \Sigma + \underline{\mu} \underline{\mu}'$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{Z}) &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ (n\Sigma + n\underline{\mu} \underline{\mu}') - (n \frac{\Sigma}{n} + n \underline{\mu} \underline{\mu}') \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [n\Sigma + n\underline{\mu} \underline{\mu}' - \Sigma - n\underline{\mu} \underline{\mu}'] \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E(\hat{Z}) = \frac{(n-1)}{n} \Sigma$$

Thus  $\hat{Z}$  is biased estimator of  $\Sigma$ .



We shall therefore define:

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})'$$

as the sample covariance matrix. It is an unbiased estimator of  $\Sigma$ , where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \dots & s_{1p} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & \dots & s_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{p1} & s_{p2} & \dots & s_{pp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Also the (MLE) of the partial correlation is,

$$\hat{r}_{12 \cdot 3 \dots p} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{12 \cdot 3 \dots p}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{11 \cdot 3 \dots p}} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{22 \cdot 3 \dots p}}}$$

And the (MLE) of the multiple correlation is

$$\hat{R}_{1 \cdot 2 \dots p} = \sqrt{\frac{S_{(1)} S_{22}^{-1} S_{(1)}}{S_{11}}}$$

Also

$$\hat{R}_{11 \cdot 2} = (\hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) S_{11 \cdot 2} (\hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$S_{11 \cdot 2} = S_{11} - S_{12} S_{22}^{-1} S_{21}$$

The properties of m.e. of  $\mu$  &  $\Sigma$

(i) Unbiased:

(i) for  $\mu$ . we have  $x \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E\hat{\mu} &= E\bar{x} \\ &= E \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E x_j \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (n) (\mu) \\ &= \frac{n}{n} \mu \\ &= \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$E\hat{\mu} = \mu$$

$\therefore \hat{\mu}$  is unbiased estimator for  $\mu$ .

(ii) for  $\Sigma$  we have  $x_j \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $\bar{x} \sim N_p(\mu, \frac{1}{n}\Sigma)$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j x_j' - \bar{x} \bar{x}'$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = E\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j x_j' - \bar{x} \bar{x}' \right\}$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E x_j x_j' - E \bar{x} \bar{x}'$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Sigma - \frac{1}{n} \Sigma$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{n} (n) \Sigma - \frac{1}{n} \Sigma$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = \frac{n}{n} \Sigma - \frac{1}{n} \Sigma$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = \Sigma (1 - \frac{1}{n})$$

$$E(\hat{\Sigma}) = \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \Sigma$$

$\therefore \hat{\Sigma}$  is biased est. for  $\Sigma$ .



notes

① if  $x \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  then  $\bar{x} \sim N_p(\mu, \frac{1}{n} \Sigma)$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\bar{x}}(t) &= E e^{t' \bar{x}} \\ &= E e^{t' \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \\ &= E e^{\frac{t'}{n} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)} \\ &= E \left[ e^{\frac{t'}{n} x_1} \cdot e^{\frac{t'}{n} x_2} \dots e^{\frac{t'}{n} x_n} \right] \\ &= E \left( e^{x_1' \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)} \right) \cdot E \left( e^{x_2' \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)} \right) \dots E \left( e^{x_n' \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$M_{\bar{x}}(t) = M_{x_1} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \cdot M_{x_2} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \dots M_{x_n} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)$$

The m.g.f. of  $\bar{x}$  is:

$$M_{\bar{x}}(t) = \left\{ e^{\left( \frac{t}{n} \right)' \mu + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)' \Sigma \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)} \right\}^n$$

$$M_{\bar{x}}(t) = e^{t' \mu + \frac{1}{2} t' \Sigma t}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\bar{x} \sim N_p \left( \mu, \frac{\Sigma}{n} \right)}$$

②  $S = \frac{A}{n-1}$  unbiased est. of  $\Sigma$ .

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{A}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (x_{\alpha} - \bar{x})(x_{\alpha} - \bar{x})'$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{E(S) = \hat{\Sigma}}$$

proof it.  
H.W

## ② Consistency

① for  $\underline{\mu}$

we have  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$  and  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\Sigma}{n})$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\mu} - \mu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E\hat{\mu} - \mu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mu - \mu] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (0) \\ &= \boxed{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(\hat{\mu}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\Sigma}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{\infty} (\Sigma) = (0)(\Sigma) = 0$$

$\therefore \hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$  is a consistency estimator for  $\mu$ .

(ii) for  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{A}{n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E(\hat{\Sigma}) - \Sigma) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma - \Sigma \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \Sigma \left( \frac{n-1}{n} - 1 \right) \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \Sigma \left( \frac{n-1-n}{n} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right) \Sigma \right\} = \Sigma \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{\infty} \right) (\Sigma) \\
 &= 0 (\Sigma) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(\hat{\Sigma}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \Sigma A \right) = 0.$$

now we want to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}(\hat{\Sigma}) &= \text{var}(S) = E(\hat{\Sigma} - E\hat{\Sigma})(\hat{\Sigma} - E\hat{\Sigma})' \\
 &= E \left\{ \frac{A}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma \right\} \left[ \frac{A}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma \right]' \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} E \left[ A - (n-1)\Sigma \right] \left[ A - (n-1)\Sigma \right]'
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \Sigma$$

$\therefore \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{A}{n}$  is a consistent est. for  $\Sigma$ .

### ③ Sufficient

(i) for  $\underline{\mu}$

if  $\Sigma$  is known

then  $\bar{x}$  is sufficient statistics for  $\underline{\mu}$ .

$$\ln L(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma}) = \underbrace{n \frac{1}{2} \ln |\underline{\Sigma}| - \frac{n}{2} (\bar{x}' - \underline{\mu})' \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{x} - \underline{\mu})}_{h(\bar{x})} + \underbrace{\frac{n}{2} (\bar{x}' - \underline{\mu})' \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{x} - \underline{\mu})}_{g(\bar{x}, \underline{\mu})}$$

where the function of observation  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  only does not depend on  $\underline{\mu}$ .

By using factorization thm.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \underline{\mu}) = g(\bar{x}, \underline{\mu}) \cdot h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$\therefore \bar{x} = \hat{\underline{\mu}}$  is sufficient statistic for  $\underline{\mu}$ .

(ii)

for  $\hat{\Sigma}$

if  $\underline{\mu}$  is known,  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{A}{n}$  is not sufficient statistic

for  $\Sigma$  but  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \underline{\mu})(x_i - \underline{\mu})'$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\Sigma$ .

### ④ Efficient.

for  $\underline{\mu}$ ,  $\bar{x}$  is an efficient statistic for  $\underline{\mu}$ ,

$\bar{x}$  &  $s$  have efficiency

eff.

$$\frac{V(\bar{x})}{V(s)} \leq 1$$

Ex: Suppose that a random sample of size (10) originated from bivariate normal  $N_2(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$  is given as follows:

$$X_1: 1.9 \ 0.8 \ 1.1 \ 0.1 \ -0.1 \ 4.4 \ 5.5 \ 1.6 \ 4.6 \ 3.4$$

$$X_2: 0.7 \ -1.6 \ -0.2 \ -1.2 \ -0.1 \ 3.4 \ 3.7 \ 0.8 \ 0 \ 2$$

Compute the sample mean vector and Sample covariance matrix

Sol

$$\underline{\bar{X}} = \bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}_1 \\ \bar{X}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{X}_1 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{10} X_{1j}}{10} = \frac{23.3}{10} = 2.32$$

$$\bar{X}_2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{10} X_{2j}}{10} = \frac{7.5}{10} = 0.75$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.32 \\ 0.75 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.011 & 2.849 \\ 2.849 & 3.211 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.61 & 2.564 \\ 2.564 & 2.89 \end{pmatrix}$$

H.w: If you have a sample of size  
(10) as follows:

$X_1$ : 35 35 40 10 6 20 35 35 35 30

$X_2$ : 35 49 30 27 28 25 15 22 30 32

$X_3$ : 22 18 25 30 32 23 18 15 20 10

Compute  $\bar{X}$ ,  $S$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\hat{P}$

## 2. Distribution of $\bar{X}$ and $S$

For the distribution of  $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j}{n}$ , we can distinguish two cases:

① When  $\bar{X}$  is based on a random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from a multivariate normal distribution  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , then  $\bar{X} \sim N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma/n)$ .

② When  $\bar{X}$  is based on a random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from a nonnormal multivariate population with mean vector  $\underline{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , then for large  $n$ ,  $\bar{X}$  is approximately  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma/n)$ .

More formally, this result is known as the multivariate central limit theorem.

If  $\bar{X}$  is the mean vector of a random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from a population with mean vector  $\underline{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \underline{\mu})$  approaches  $N_p(\underline{0}, \Sigma)$ .

③ There are  $\binom{p}{2}$  variances in  $S$  and  $\binom{p}{2}$  covariances, for a total of

$$p + \binom{p}{2} = p + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$$

distinct entries. The joint distribution of these distinct variables in  $A = (n-1)S = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})'$



### 3- The Wishart distribution

any symmetric positive definite matrix  $A$  of quadratic forms and bilinear form which can be transformed to the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i y_i'$ , whose  $p$ -component vectors  $y_i$  are independent distributed according to the distribution  $N(0, \Sigma)$  is said to have Wishart dist. (1928)

توزيع وشرت  
هو توزيع  $N$  متجهي  $A$ .

The Wishart dist. denoted by  $W_p(n-1, \Sigma)$

where  $(n-1)$  is the degree of freedom.

The Wishart dist. is the multivariate analogue of  $\chi^2$ -dist. and it has similar uses.

as we know a  $\chi^2$  random variable is the sum of squares of independent standard normal (univariate) r.v.s

$$\sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x_i - \mu_i}{\sigma} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n)}$$

if  $\bar{x}$  substituted for  $\mu$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

$$\text{أي } \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}} \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

Similarly the formal dist. of wishart r.v.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \underline{\mu})(x_j - \underline{\mu})' \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$$

↓  
A

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are independent distributed as  $N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , when

when  $\bar{x}$  substituted for  $\underline{\mu}$ , the dist. remains Wishart dist. with one less degree of freedom

$$(n-1)S = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})' \sim W_p(n-1, \Sigma)$$

where  $(n-1)$  is the d. of f. of the distribution

The density fun. of Wishart dist. is

$$W(A; n-1, \Sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{|A|^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^p \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(n+1-j)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \Sigma' A} & , \text{ if } A \text{ is p.d.} \\ 0 & , \text{ o.w.} \end{cases}$$

where  $A$  is a positive definite matrix  
 $n$  is d. f.

### Notes

- (1) if the number of variables  $[p]$  equal one  $[p=1]$  and  $\Sigma = \sigma^2$  then

$$W_p(n-1, \Sigma) \longrightarrow \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

and

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

- (2) if  $[p=1]$  and  $[\Sigma=1]$ , the wishart density becomes that of the chi-squared dist. with  $[n]$  d.f.

هذا  $F(1, p-1)$  توزيع ديسر - فشر التوزيع الذي يتكون

- (3) if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  are indep. distributed as wishart. matrices  $\Sigma$  with common parameter matrix  $\Sigma$  and respective d.f.  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  their sum has the wishart dist. with parameters  $\Sigma$  and

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \sim W\left(\Sigma, \sum_{i=1}^k n_i\right) \right\}$$

إذا لري عدد  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  من المتكاملات  
وهي متقلة فيما بينها و مجموعها يتوزع  
ايضاً و بيسر.

- (4) The wishart dist. is used in the multivariate generalization of the t-test called Hotelling's  $T^2$  test, for comparing the mean vectors of two multivariate normal dist. it is also used in comparing dispersion matrices of two multivariate normal dist. and in comparing the mean vectors of several multivariate normal populations in (MANOVA) problem.

- (5) For the problem of  $T^2$  and other multivariate normal tests, The non-central wishart dist. must be substituted for the central wishart dist. when the null hypothesis is not true.

T.W. Anderson (Ann, Math, stat, vol (117), 1946, pp(409-431))

has obtained some properties of the non central wishart dist.

$H_0: \text{true} \rightarrow \text{Central Wishart}$

$H_1: \text{true} \rightarrow \text{non-Central Wishart}$

- (6) The wishart dist. is a multivariate generalization of the  $\chi^2$  dist.

توزيع وشرت هو تعميم لتوزيع  $\chi^2$   
وان  $\chi^2$  هو حالة خاصة من الوشرت.

### 3. Some of basic properties

(i) If  $A_1 \sim W_p(n_1-1, \Sigma)$  and  $A_2 \sim W_p(n_2-1, \Sigma)$

$A_1$  and  $A_2$  are independent, then

$$A_1 + A_2 \sim W_p(n_1+n_2-2, \Sigma)$$

(ii) If  $A \sim W_p(n-1, \Sigma)$  and  $B$  ( $p \times q$ ) matrix

then  $B'AB \sim W_q(n-1, B'\Sigma B)$

(iii) If  $A \sim W_p(n-1, I)$  and  $B$  ( $p \times q$ )

orthogonal matrix,  $B'B = I_q$ , then

$$B'AB \sim W_q(n-1, I).$$

Finally, we note that when sampling from a multivariate normal distribution  $\bar{X}$  and  $S$  are independent.