Assistant Prof. Dr.Khitam Abdulhussein Saeed Al-Mustansiriyah University Faculty of Civil Engineering Water Resources Engineering Department



SOIL MECHANICS



CHAPTER FOUR

2020-2021 Third Stage Students

> Undergraduate students (3th stage students) Faculty of Engineering Mustansiriyah University Water Resources Engineering Department

CHAPTER FOUR

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Classification of Soil

4.1 Introduction

- Different soils with similar properties may be classified into groups and subgroups according to their engineering behavior.
- Classification systems provide a common language to concisely express the general characteristics of soils, which are infinitely varied, without detailed descriptions.
- Soil classification systems are based on simple index properties such as particle-size distribution and consistency limits (Atterberg limits)
- In general, there are two major categories into which the classification systems can be grouped.

1. The textural classification is based on the particle-size distribution of the percent of sand, silt, and clay-size fractions present in a given soil.

2. The other major category is based on the engineering behavior of soil and takes into consideration the particle-size distribution and the plasticity (i.e., liquid limit and plasticity index). Under this category, there are two major classification systems in extensive use now:

- a. The AASHTO classification system.
- b. The Unified classification system.

4.2. Soil Classification

Classification of soil is the separation of soil into classes or groups each having similar characteristics and potentially similar behaviour. A classification for engineering purposes should be based mainly on mechanical properties: permeability, stiffness, strength. The class to which a soil belongs can be used in its description.

The aim of a classification system is to establish a set of conditions which will allow useful comparisons to be made between different soils. The system must be simple. The relevant criteria for classifying soils are the size distribution of particles and the plasticity of the soil. Particle Size Distribution for measuring the distribution of particle sizes in a soil sample, it is necessary to conduct different particle-size tests. Wet sieving is carried out for separating fine grains from coarse grains by washing the soil specimen on a 75 micron sieve mesh.

4.2.1. Dry sieve analysis is carried out on particles coarser than 75 micron. Samples (with fines removed) are dried and shaken through a set of sieves of descending size. The weight retained in each sieve is measured. The cumulative percentage quantities finer than the sieve

sizes (passing each given sieve size) are then determined. The resulting data is presented as a distribution curve with grain size along x-axis (log scale) and percentage passing along y-axis (arithmetic scale).

Sieve no. Opening (mm) 4 4,750 6 3.350 2.360 8 2.000 10 16 1.180 20 0.850 30 0.600 40 0.425 50 0.300 60 0.250 80 0.180 0.150 100 140 0.106 170 0.088 200 0.075 270 0.053 A set of sieves for a test in the laboratory Well graded GW Less than 5% pass 75µ sieve Poorly graded GP GW-GM Borderline, has duel symbol GW-GC Between 5% to 12% depending upon its gradation Gravel GP-GC pass 75µ sieve and plasticity characteristics GP-GM Determine WL Above 'A' line GC or hatched zone and W_P on the soil passing through 0.425 More than 12% GM-GC In hatched zone mm sieve and pass 75µ sieve determine Below 'A' line plasticity GM or hatched zone index Foundation Analysis and Design by: Dr. Amit Pra

U.S. Standard sieve sizes



4.2.2. Hydrometer (Sedimentation) analysis is based on the principle of sedimentation of soil grains in water. When a soil specimen is dispersed in water, the particles settle at different velocities, depending on their shape, size, and weight. For simplicity, it is assumed that all the soil particles are spheres, and the velocity of soil particles can be expressed by Stokes' law, according to which:

Trial Liquid pit limit (%) 1 29.23		Plasticity index	Symbol	Classification Inorganic clays, silty clays, sandy clays.		
		17.7	CL			
2	38.7	12.2	CL	Inorganic clays, silty clays, sandy clays.		
3	43.0	33.5	СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fatty clays		
4	32.7	16.7	CL	Inorganic clays, silty clays, sandy clays.		
5	34.0	17.0	CL	Inorganic clays, silty clays, sandy clays.		

4.3 Textural Classification (Triangular classification)

In a general sense, *texture* of soil refers to its surface appearance. Soil texture is influenced by the size of the individual particles present in it, this classification divided soils into gravel, sand, silt, and clay categories on the basis of particle size.

- ✓ Figure 4.1 shows the textural classification systems developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- ✓ This chart is based on only the fraction of soil that passes through the No. 10 sieve (2 mm diameter), Hence, if the particle-size distribution of a soil is such that a certain percentage of the soil particles is larger than 2 mm in diameter, a correction will be necessary
- \checkmark The use of this chart can best be demonstrated by an example.

laidint gallab folgist hidi ya tukulova futa yizi ginan di jiki di si lai na kati ya kati kati ya kati kati ya

Illustrative example-1: If the particle-size distribution of soil A shows 30% sand, 40% silt, and 30% clay-size particles, to classify this soil using the triangular classification, can be determined by proceeding in the manner indicated by the arrows in Figure 4.1. This soil falls into the zone of clay loam.

Illustrative example-2: If soil B has a particle-size distribution of 20% gravel, 10% sand, 30% silt, and 40% clay, the modified textural compositions are:

Sand size =
$$\frac{10x100}{100-20}$$
 = 12.5%
Silt size = $\frac{30x100}{100-20}$ = 37.5%
Clay size = $\frac{40x100}{100-20}$ = 50.0%

On the basis of the preceding modified percentages, textural classification is *clay*. However, because of the large percentage of gravel, it may be called *gravelly clay*



Figure 4.1 U.S. Department of Agriculture textural classification (USDA)

Illustrative example-3: Classify the following soils according to the USDA textural classification system.

Particle-size Soil	Soil						
Distribution (%)	А	В	С	D			
Gravel	12	18	0	12			
Sand	25	31	15	22			
Silt	32	30	30	26			
Clay	31	21	55	40			

Solution

Step 1. Calculate the modified percentages of sand, gravel, and silt as follows:

Modified % Sand = $\frac{\% \text{ Sand}}{100 - \% \text{ gravel}} x100\%$

Modified % Silt =
$$\frac{\% \text{ Silt}}{100 - \% \text{ gravel}} x100\%$$

Modified % Clay =
$$\frac{\% \text{ Clay}}{100 - \% \text{ gravel}} x100\%$$

Thus, the following table results:

Particle-size Soil	Soil						
Distribution (%)	А	В	С	D			
Sand	28.4	37.8	15	25			
Silt	36.4	36.6	30	29.5			
Clay	35.2	25.6	55	45.5			

Step 2. With the modified composition calculated, refer to Figure 4.1 to determine the zone into which each soil falls. The results are as follows:

Classification of soil							
А	В	С	D				
Gravelly clay	Gravelly loam	Clay	Gravelly clay				
loam							

Note: The word *gravelly* was added to the classification of soils *A*, *B*, and *D* because of the large percentage of gravel present in each.

4.4 Classification by Engineering Behavior

The textural classification of soil is relatively simple, it is based entirely on the particle-size distribution, the soils engineer must consider *plasticity*, so that the textural classification of soil are inadequate for most engineering purposes, Currently, two more elaborate classification systems are commonly used by soils engineers. Both systems take into consideration the particle-size distribution and Atterberg limits. They are:

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) classification system, The AASHTO classification system is used mostly by state and county highway departments.
- Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), Geotechnical engineers generally prefer the Unified system.

Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)



Used for Fine grained soils to determine whether silt (M) or clay (C)



Below A-line is silt – use symbol M $LL > 50 \rightarrow$ High plasticity Above A-line is clay – use symbol C $LL < 50 \rightarrow$ low plasticity

4.5 AASHTO Classification System

The AASHTO classification system which is given in Fig 4.1 is classify the soil into General classification and Group classification which is consist of seven major groups: A-1 through A-7 then the major groups divided in to subgroups

The AASHTO method uses Atterberg limits (LL and PL), and information on grain size distribution curve (F10, F40, and F200), which are the percentage passing on No. 10 sieve, No. 40 sieve, and No. 200 sieve, respectively.

✓ General classification:

Can be categorized according to the percentage passing the dominated sieve (No. 200)

- Granular Materials if (35% or less of total sample passing No. 200)
- Silt-Clay Materials if (more than 35% of total sample passing No. 200)
- ✓ Group classification :
- Soils classified under groups A-1, A-2, and A-3 are granular materials
- Soils classified under groups A-4, A-5, A-6, & A-7 are Silt-Clay Materials

General Classification		Granular materials (35% or less passing No. 200 Sieve (0.075 mm)					Silt-clay Materials More than 35% passing No. 200 Sieve (0.075 mm)				
Group Classification	A—1		124.96	A—2						A-7	
	A_1_a	А—1—b	A—3	A-2-4	A-2-5	A-2-6	A-2-7	A4	A—5	A6	A-7-5 A-7-6
(a) Sieve Analysis: Percent Passing											
(i) 2.00 mm (No. 10)	50 max		-30-4		S. 198						
(ii) 0.425 mm (No. 40)	30 max	50 max	51 min		1.5			12/40%	13 3 4		
(iii) 0.075 mm (No. 200)	15 max	25 max	10 max	35 max	35 max	35 max	35 max	36 min	36 min	36 min	36 min
(b) Characteristics of fraction passing 0.425 mm (No. 40)											
(i) Liquid limit	10			40 max	41 min	40 max	41 min	40 max	41 min	40 max	. 41 min
(ii) Plasticity index	6 n	nax	N.P.	10 max	10 max	11 min	11 min	10 max	10 max	11 min	11 min*
(c) Usual types of significant Constituent materials.	Stone Fragments Gravel and sand Fine Sand		Silty or Clayey Gravel Sand		Silty Soils Clayey Soils		ey Soils				
(d) General rating as subgrade.	Excellent to Good					Fair	to Poor				

Table 5.1. AASHTO Classification System

* If plasticity index is equal to or less than (liquid Limit—30), the soil is A—7—5 (*i.e.* PL > 30%) If plasticity index is greater than (Liquid Limit—30), the soil is A—7—6 (*i.e.* PL < 30%)

This classification system is based on the following criteria:

1. Grain size

a. *Gravel:* fraction passing the 75-mm sieve and retained on the No. 10 (2-mm) U.S. sieve

b. *Sand:* fraction passing the No. 10 (2-mm) U.S. sieve and retained on the No. 200 (0.075-mm) U.S. sieve

c. Silt and clay: fraction passing the No. 200 U.S. sieve

2. <u>Plasticity:</u>

The term *silty* is applied when the fine fractions of the soil have a plasticity index of 10 or less. The term *clayey* is applied when the fine fractions have a plasticity index of 11 or more.

3. If cobbles and *boulders* (size larger than 75 mm) are encountered





Figure 4.2 shows a plot of the range of the liquid limit and the plasticity index for soils that fall into groups A-2, A-4, A-5, A-6, and A-7.



Figure 4.2 Range of liquid limit and plasticity index for soils in groups A-2, A-4, A-5, A-6, and A-7

To evaluate the *quality of a soil* as a highway subgrade material, one must also incorporate a number called the **group index (GI)** with the groups and subgroups of the soil.

GI = (F200 - 35) [0.2 + 0.005(LL - 40)] + 0.01(F200 - 15)(PI - 10).....(4.1)

There are some rules in Equation (4.1):

- 1. When GI is calculated as negative values, report GI = 0.
- 2. GI is reported in rounded integer numbers. For example, GI = 4.4 should be reported as 4 and
- GI = 4.5 should be reported as 5.
- 3. The group index of soils belonging to groups A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, and A-3 is always 0.

4. For A-2-6 and A-2-7 subgroups, use only the second term of Equation (4.1) and assign the first term always as zero, that is,

GI = 0.01(F200 - 15)(PI - 10)(4.2)



The standard says that under average conditions of good drainage and thorough compaction processes, the supporting value of a material as subgrade may be assumed as an inverse ratio to the group index; that is, a group index of 0 indicates a "good" subgrade material and a group index of 20 or greater indicates a "very poor" subgrade material.



Typical road pavement systems

Example 1

The results of the particle-size analysis of a soil are as follows:

- Percent passing the No. 10 sieve 42 Percent passing the No. 40 sieve 35 Percent passing the No. 200 sieve 20

The liquid limit and plastic limit of the minus No. 40 fraction of the soil are 25 and 20, respectively. Classify the soil by the AASHTO system.

Solution

Since 20% (i.e., less than 35%) of soil is passing No. 200 sieve, it is a granular soil. Hence it can be A-1, A-2, or A-3. Refer to Table 5.1. Starting from the left of the table, the soil falls under A-1b

PI=LL-PL=25-20=5<6 The group index of the soil is 0. So, the soil is A-1-b(0).

Example 2

Ninety-five percent of a soil passes through the No. 200 sieve and has a liquid limit of 60 and plasticity index of 40. Classify the soil by the AASHTO system.

Solution

Ninety-five percent of the soil (which \geq is 36%) is passing through No. 200 sieve. So it is a silty-clay material. Now refer to Table 5.1. Starting from the left of the table, it falls under A-7-6

GI = (F200 - 35) [0.2 + 0.005(LL - 40)] + 0.01(F200 - 15)(PI - 10)

$$GI = (95 - 35) [0.2 + 0.005(60 - 40)] + 0.01(95 - 15)(40 - 10)$$

GI =42

So, the classification is A-7-6(42).

Thus;

☆ Possible group names for gravelly soils are : GW, GP, GM, and GC

☆ Possible group names for sandy soils are : SW, SP, SM, and SC

 \cancel{P} Possible group names for silty soils are : **MH** and **ML**

4.6 UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS)

First developed by Arthur Casagrande for wartime airfield construction in 1942, This is the most widely used classification system by geotechnical engineers.

- Quick Review
- The system uses six simple major symbols and four modifiers as in the following:

Major symbols: G Gravel S Sand M Silt C Clay O Organic Pt Peat Modifiers: W Well graded (for gravel and sand) P Poorly graded (for gravel and sand) H High plasticity (for silt, clay, and organic soils) L Low plasticity (for silt, clay, and organic soils)

- Classified group names are combinations of these characters—for example
- GP for poorly graded gravel
- SW for well-graded sand
- CH for high-plasticity clay
- SM for silty sand

 \cancel{P} Possible group names for clayey soils are : CH and CL

 \overleftrightarrow Possible group names for organic soils are : **OH** and **OL** \overleftrightarrow

- \cancel{r} Possible group names for Peat soils are :
- \Rightarrow **Pt** stands alone

There is other possibility of grouping for several boundary soils which is called

Dual naming, such as

GW-GM for (well-graded gravel with silt)

- GC-GM for (silty clayey gravel)
- SW-SM for (well-graded sand with silt)

Table 4.2 Unified Soil	Classification System (Based on Material Passing 75	-mn Sieve)	
Criteria for Assigning	y Group Symbols			Group Symbol
	Gravels More than 50%	Clean Gravels Less than 5% fines"	$C_u \ge 4$ and $1 \le C_v \le 3^v$ $C_u \le 4$ and/or $1 \ge C_v \ge 3^v$	GW GP
Coarse-Grained Soils More than 50% of	of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve	Gravels with Fines More than 12% fines ^{red}	$PI \le 4$ or plots below "A" line (Figure 4.2) $PI \ge 7$ and plots on or above "A" line (Figure 4.2)	GM GC
retained on No. 200 sieve	Sands 50% or more of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Clean Sands Less than 5% fines ^b	$C_u \geq 6$ and $1 \leq C_c \leq 3^c$ $C_u \leq 6$ and/or $1 \geq C_c \geq 3^c$	SW SP
		Sands with Fines More than 12% fines ^{b,d}	$PI \le 4$ or plots below "A" line (Figure 4.2) $PI \ge 7$ and plots on or above "A" line (Figure 4.2)	SM SC
	Silts and Clays Liquid limit less than 50 Silts and Clays	Inorganic	$PI \simeq 7$ and plots on or above "A" line (Figure 4.2)" $PI \leq 4$ or plots below "A" line (Figure 4.2)"	CL ML
Fine-Grained Soils		Organic	$\frac{\text{Liquid limit-oven dried}}{\text{Liquid limit-not dried}} < 0.75, see Figure 4.2, OL zone$	OL
No. 200 sieve		Inorganic	<i>PI</i> plots on or above "A" line (Figure 4.2) <i>PI</i> plots below "A" line (Figure 4.2)	CH MH
	or more	Organic	Liquid limit-oven dried Liquid limit-not dried < 0.75; see Figure 4.2; OH zone	
Highly Organic Soils	Primarily organic	matter, dark in color, and org	anic odor	Pt



This system uses LL, PL, and PI (=- LLP), and soils' gradation information. First, from a grain size

distribution curve

The percentages of each component are identified as shown in Figure 4.3.: gravel [$d \ge 4.75$ mm], sand [4.75 mm > d > 0.075 mm], fine [$d \le 0.075$ mm] The values of F₂₀₀, R₂₀₀, F₄, and R4 are obtained as:

F200: % finer than No. 200 sieve (0.075 mm) = percentage of fine contentR200: percentage retained on No. 200 sieve (0.075 mm) = percentage of sand and gravel contentF4: percentage finer than No. 4 sieve (4.75 mm) = percentage of sand and fine contentR4: percentage retained on No. 4 sieve (4.75 mm) = percentage of gravel content **Note** that in

USCS, clay and silt are categorized as fine.

The coefficient of uniformity $Cu=(D_{60}/D_{10})$ and the coefficient of gradation $C_g=$

 $((D_{30})2/(D_{60} \times D_{10}))$ are calculated.



Figure 4: Flow chart for USCS.

4.4.1.For G or S

1. If $F_{200} < 50\%$ (or $R_{200} \ge 50\%$, i.e., gravel and sand content is more than 50%), then soil is **G** or **S**. 2. Then, if $R_4 \ge .F_{200}$ (gravel content \ge sand content), it is **G**, or if $R_4 < .F_{200}$ (gravel content < sand content), it is **S**.

3. In the next step, F200 (fine content) is checked for G and S.

• If F200 < 5%, naming fine content is ignored and soils will be GW, GP, SW, or SP.

• If F200 > 12%, soils will be GM, GC, SM, or SC.

• When 5% ≤ F200 ≤ 12%, double naming comes in as GW–GM, GW–GC, GP–GM, and GP–GC for gravel or SW–SM, SW–SC, SP–SM, and SP–SC for sand.

In such double naming cases, modifier M or C is determined based on a **plasticity chart** (see Section 4.4.2) for F_{40} materials.

- 4. In the final step for gravel and sand, C_u and C_g values are evaluated for modifiers W or P.
- For gravel, $C_u \ge 4$ and $1 \le C_g \le 3$ are conditions for W and the other values of those are for P.
- For sand, the condition for W is $C_u \ge 6$ and $1 \le C_g \le 3$ and the other values are for **P**.

5. For GW and GP soils, if percentage of sand content is at or more than 15%, it is named as GW (or GP) with sand.

6. Similarly, for SW and SP soils, if percentage of gravel content is at or more than 15%, it is named as SW (or SP) with gravel.

4.4.2 F or C, M, O, or Pt

1. Going back to the F200 value in Figure 4.4, if $F200 \ge 50\%$ (i.e., fine contents are at or more than 50%), then soil is either **M** or **C** (or possibly **O** or **Pt**).

2. To classify M or C, plasticity chart (Figure 4.5) is used.

It utilizes LL and PI (= LL - PL) values. LL and PL tests should be performed on the F40 specimen (soil passed No. 40 sieve—0.425 mm), and the LL and PI data point of the soil tested is plotted on the plasticity chart to identify soil type (**CH**, **CL**, **MH**, **ML**, or **CL-ML**) by the zone on which the data point falls.

3. On the plasticity chart, most natural soils fall below the "U" line and around the "A" line or CL-ML zone. It should be noted that LL = 50 is the boundary LL for high-plastic (LL > 50) or low-plastic (LL < 50) soils.

4. The M or C classification method by the plasticity chart is also used in subgroup names in gravel and sand category soils with their fine contents between 5% and 12%. These are GM, GC, SM, SC

And dual named soils

For gravel GW–GM, GW–GC, GP–GM,& GP–GC For sand SW–SM, SW–SC, SP–SM, and SP–SC



Figure 4.5 Plasticity chart for USCS.

5. **Pt** (**peat**) should be identified by its color, odor, spongy feeling, and, frequently, by its fibrous texture by testing engineers.

O (organic soil) can be identified by observing the change in LL values from natural soil to oven-dried (burns some organic) soil. If LL (oven dried)/LL (natural) is <0.75, it is classified as O. If the ratio is \geq 0.75, it is non-organic.

Since USCS uses simple symbols with their meanings, it is easy to understand the nature of soils from classified group names. Also, this requires only LL and PL tests and sieve analysis. A hydrometer test is not required since the silt and clay are treated as **fine** and it uses the plasticity chart to identify the clay and silt

Ex1:

A soil gradation curve is shown in Figure 4.6 Classify the soil by USCS, classification methods. LL = 46% and PL = 35% were obtained for F40 material of the specimen.



Solution

From the gradation curve, the following values can be read. Percentage passing No. 4 (4.75 mm) = 92% Percentage passing No. 200 (0.075 mm) = 28% F200 = 28%, and thus R200 = 72%F4 = 92%, and thus R4 = 8%D10 = 0.01 mm D30 = 0.090 mm

 $\begin{array}{l} D60 = 0.39 \text{ mm} \\ Cu = D60/D10 = 0.39/0.01 = 39 \\ Cg = (D30)2/(D60 \times D10) = (0.090)2/(0.01 \times 0.39) = 2.08 \\ LL = 46 \\ PI = 46 - 35 = 11 \end{array}$

By using the flow chart in Figure 4.4, since F200 (28) < 50%, it should be G or S. $R4 (= 8\%) < \frac{1}{2}R200 (= 72\%) = 36\%$, and thus it should be S. F200 (= 28%) > 12% and it should be SM or SC. LL (= 46) and PL (= 11) fall in the region of ML or OL in the plasticity chart (Figure 4.5). Thus, the soil is classified as **SM (silty sand)**. \leftarrow

Problem: The following figure shows grain size distribution curves for soils A,B, C, and D with their LL and PL values.



For each soil,

- (a) Classify the soil according to USCS.
- (b) Classify the soil according to AASHTO including GI computation.
- (c) Discuss the suitability of the soil as subgrade material.

Problem	Soil	LL	PL
4.1	Α	55	25
4.2	В	45	26
4.3	С	25	19
4.4	D	42	33