

# **Combinatorial Optimization Problems**

**4<sup>th</sup> grade – S & OP Branch/ 2019-2020**

**Introduced By**

**Dr. Faez Hassan Ali**



# Chapter Three - 3

## Aircraft Landing Problems



# Solving ALP using Heuristic and CE Methods

## Parallel Improving Techniqiue

The order between aircraft (**sequencing the aircraft**) is setup according to priority rules which are based on the variables:

- $E_i$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the sooner earliest landing time.
- $T_i$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the earliest target landing time.
- $L_i$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the earliest latest landing time.
- $E_i/g_i$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest earliest time.
- $L_i/h_i$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest latest time.
- $T_i/(g_i+h_i)$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest target.
- $1/(g_i+h_i)$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which causes the most important advance and lateness penalty.

**Example** : Let  $N=3$

We have the following priority rules:

$E_i$ : we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$T_i$ : we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$L_i$ : we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$E_i/g_i=(12.9,19.5,2.97)$ , we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$L_i/h_i=(55.9,74.9,17)$ , we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$T_i/(g_i+h_i)=(7.75,12.9,1.63)$ , we have the sequence 3,1,2.

$1/(g_i+h_i)=(0.05,0.05,0.03)$ , we have the sequence 3,1,2 or 3,2,1.

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$
$E_i$	129	195	89
$T_i$	155	258	98
$L_i$	559	744	510
$g_i$	10	10	30
$h_i$	10	10	30

	$S_{ij}$		
	1	2	3
1	0	3	15
2	3	0	15
3	15	15	0



# Solving ALP using Heuristic and CE Methods

## Parallel Improving Technique

The adjusting landing time (scheduling aircraft)

### Parallel Improving Algorithm (PIA)

Let P be the list of aircraft set up according to a priority rule and  $O=\{\}$ .

1.  $t_{p_1} \leftarrow T_{p_1}; P_1 \in O.$

2. **FOR**  $i = 2 : N$

$$t_{p_i} \leftarrow \max(T_{p_i}, \max_{P_j \in O} (t_{p_j} + S_{p_i, p_j}))$$

**END** {FOR i}

3. **REPEAT**

Calculate penalty Cost Z

**IF** ( $t_{p_i} > T_{p_i}$ )

Reduce the landing time by 1 unit of time

**ELSE** {  $t_{p_i} \leq T_{p_i}$  }

Increase the landing time by 1 unit of time

**END** {IF}

**IF** (the solution is unfeasible)

Reject the change and keep the last feasible solution.

**BREAK.**

**END** {IF}

**UNTIL** (there is increase of penalty cost)



# Parallel Improving Techniqiue

Example: For N=7

	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>
E <sub>i</sub>	129	195	89	96	110	120	124
T <sub>i</sub>	155	258	98	106	123	135	138
L <sub>i</sub>	559	744	510	521	555	576	577
g <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30	30	30	30	30
h <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30	30	30	30	30

S <sub>ij</sub>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	0	3	15	15	15	15	15
2	3	0	15	15	15	15	15
3	15	15	0	8	8	8	8
4	15	15	8	0	8	8	8
5	15	15	8	8	0	8	8
6	15	15	8	8	8	0	8
7	15	15	8	8	8	8	0

Suppose that the priority rule is the T<sub>i</sub>. The order is as follows:

P <sub>i</sub>	3	4	5	6	7	1	2

assign landing time to the 1<sup>st</sup> aircraft in the list (P<sub>1</sub>=3) : t<sub>3</sub> = T<sub>3</sub>=98, O={3}, then:

P <sub>i</sub>	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
t <sub>i</sub>	98							0

For the 2<sup>nd</sup> aircraft in the list (P<sub>2</sub>=4), then

$$t_4 \leftarrow \max(T_4, \max_{P_j \in O} (t_3 + S_{3,4})) = \max(106, \max(98+8)) = 106, O = \{3, 4\}.$$

P <sub>i</sub>	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
t <sub>i</sub>	98	106						0

For the 3<sup>rd</sup> aircraft in the list (P<sub>3</sub>=5), then

$$t_5 \leftarrow \max(T_5, \max(t_3 + S_{3,5}, t_4 + S_{4,5})) = \max(123, \max(98+8, 106+8)) = 123, O = \{3, 4, 5\}.$$

P <sub>i</sub>	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
t <sub>i</sub>	98	106	123					0



# Parallel Improving Techniqiue

## Continue example

For the 4<sup>th</sup> aircraft in the list ( $P_4=6$ ), then  $t_6=\max(135,\max(98+8,106+8,123+8))=135$ ,  $O=\{3,4,5,6\}$ ,  $Z=0$ .

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	135				0

For the 5<sup>th</sup> aircraft in the list ( $P_5=7$ ), then  $t_7=\max(138,\max(98+8,106+8,123+8,135+8))=143 \neq 138$ ,  $O=\{3,4,5,6,7\}$ ,

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	135	143			150

here we need adjusting the landing time  $t_7=142$ , then  $t_6=134$ :

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	134	142			150

And continue in decreasing until we obtain:

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	131	139			150

If we continue another step we obtain:

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	122	130	138			180

Since  $Z=180$ , we ignore this step and back to the last step when  $Z=150$ .



# Parallel Improving Techniqiue

## Continue example

For the 6<sup>th</sup> aircraft in the list ( $P_6=1$ ), then

$T_1 = \max(155, \max(98+15, 106+15, 123+15, 131+15, 139+15)) = 155$ ,  $O = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1\}$ , now we need no adjusting the landing time so we obtain,  $Z = 150$

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	131	139	155		150

For the 7th aircraft in the list ( $P_7=2$ ), then

$T_2 = \max(258, \max(98+15, 106+15, 123+15, 131+15, 139+15, 155+15)) = 258$ ,  $O = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 1, 2\}$ , now we need no adjusting the landing time so we obtain,  $Z = 150$ :

$P_i$	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Z
$t_i$	98	106	123	131	139	155	258	150

This Table shows the implementation of PIA for this example.

Stage	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	Cost Z
1	98							0
2	98	106						0
3	98	106	123					0
4	98	106	123	135				0
5	98	106	123	131	139			150
6	98	106	118	131	139	155		150
7	98	106	118	131	139	155	258	150

# Complete Enumeration Method (CEM)

When using CEM, in sequencing stage we will try all the possible permutation of N planes which equal to N!, while in scheduling stage we will apply two methods:

- **Exhaustive Search Method (ESM)** we try all possibilities starting from  $E_i$  ending in  $L_i$ . The total number of all possibilities for scheduling is  $\prod_{i=1}^N (L_i - E_i + 1)$
- **PIA.**

the total complexity (C(N)) for sequencing and scheduling using CEM is:

$$C(N) = N! * \prod_{i=1}^N (L_i - E_i + 1) \quad \dots(8)$$

For  $E_i = T_i = L_i$ , ( $Z=0$ )  $\forall i \in P$ , then  $C(N) = N!$ .

**Remark:** In general, if :

R: the number of pairs of aircraft which are satisfy SR's.

D: the number of pairs of aircraft which are not submitted to SR's, represented by the variables  $\delta_{ij}$  in matrix A.

$R+D = C_2^N = N*(N-1)/2$ , for the ALP we have  $2^D$  sequences can be try to find the best sequence. In some ALP,  $N!$  may be larger than  $2^D$  and vice versa.

N	C(N)	N!	$C_2^N$	R	D	$2^D$
8	$9.689287 \times 10^{16}$	40320	28	17	11	2048*
9	$2.486859 \times 10^{20}$	362880*	36	17	19	524288
10	$1.892271 \times 10^{23}$	3628800*	45	23	22	4194304
15	$5.084773 \times 10^{41}$	$1.3077 \times 10^{12}$ *	105	44	61	$2.305843 \times 10^{18}$





# Complete Enumeration Method (CEM)

Example : N=3

	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>
E <sub>i</sub>	130	127	96
T <sub>i</sub>	131	128	97
L <sub>i</sub>	133	130	99
g <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30
h <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30

	S <sub>ij</sub>		
	1	2	3
1	0	4	4
2	4	0	4
3	4	4	0

## CEM-ESM

The general Complexity is  $C(3)=6*64=384$ . The number of SR=3, R=3 and D=0 so we have the unique sequence  $\pi=(3,2,1)$ , then C(3) reduces to 64 possible. Then the best solutions using CEM-ESM are:

1 - 96,127,131, Z=40.

2 - 96,128,132, Z=40.

3 - 97,127,131, Z=10.

4 - 97,128,132, Z=10.

## CEM-PIA

we have the unique sequence  $\pi=(3,2,1)$ , then the best solution using CEM-PIA is:

1 - 97,127,131, Z=10.



# Data Set

Table (1)

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$
$E_i$	129	195	89
$T_i$	155	258	98
$L_i$	559	744	510
$g_i$	10	10	30
$h_i$	10	10	30

	$S_{ij}$		
	1	2	3
1	0	3	15
2	3	0	15
3	15	15	0

Table(2-1)

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$P_5$	$P_6$	$P_7$	$P_8$	$P_9$	$P_{10}$
$E_i$	129	195	89	96	110	120	124	126	135	160
$T_i$	155	258	98	106	123	135	138	140	150	180
$L_i$	559	744	510	521	555	576	577	573	591	657
$g_i$	10	10	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
$h_i$	10	10	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Table(2-2)

$S_{ij}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	0	3	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
2	3	0	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
3	15	15	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
4	15	15	8	0	8	8	8	8	8	8
5	15	15	8	8	0	8	8	8	8	8
6	15	15	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	8
7	15	15	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8
8	15	15	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8
9	15	15	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8
10	15	15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0



# Exercises

1. Calculate the TWT for:

- from Table (1),  $Z_{UB}=900$ .
- Table(2-1) and table (2-2), for  $N=10$ , for 1<sup>st</sup> 5 aircraft,  $Z_{UB}=90$ .

2. Find the SR for  $N=5$

	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>
E <sub>i</sub>	129	111	123	89	96
T <sub>i</sub>	155	123	135	98	106
L <sub>i</sub>	191	135	147	110	118
g <sub>i</sub>	10	30	30	30	30
h <sub>i</sub>	10	30	30	30	30

S <sub>ij</sub>	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	15	15	15	15
2	15	0	8	8	8
3	15	8	0	8	8
4	15	8	8	0	8
5	15	8	8	8	0

	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>
E <sub>i</sub>	146	241	90	95	108
T <sub>i</sub>	155	250	93	98	111
L <sub>i</sub>	164	259	96	101	114
g <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30	30	30
h <sub>i</sub>	10	10	30	30	30

S <sub>ij</sub>	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	3	15	15	15
2	3	0	15	15	15
3	15	15	0	8	8
4	15	15	8	0	8
5	15	15	8	8	0

3. Find the priority rules for Exercise (2).



# Exercises

## 4. Apply PIA using $T_i$ priority for $N=5$

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$P_5$
$E_i$	129	190	84	89	100
$T_i$	155	250	93	98	111
$L_i$	305	400	143	148	161
$g_i$	10	30	30	30	30
$h_i$	10	30	30	30	30

$S_{ij}$	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	3	15	15	15
2	3	0	15	15	15
3	15	15	0	8	8
4	15	15	8	0	8
5	15	15	8	8	0

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$P_5$
$E_i$	146	249	95	103	120
$T_i$	155	258	98	106	123
$L_i$	164	267	101	109	126
$g_i$	10	30	30	30	30
$h_i$	10	30	30	30	30

$S_{ij}$	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	3	15	15	15
2	3	0	15	15	15
3	15	15	0	8	8
4	15	15	8	0	8
5	15	15	8	8	0

## 5. Find $C(N)$ , The number of SR, R, D, the possible sequences $\pi$ , then find the optimal solution for the following ALP using CEM-ESM and CEM-PIA

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$
$E_i$	130	127	96
$T_i$	131	128	97
$L_i$	132	129	98
$g_i$	10	10	30
$h_i$	10	10	30

	$S_{ij}$		
	1	2	3
1	0	2	2
2	2	0	2
3	2	2	0

