## CHAPTER THREE

## AIRCRAFT LANDING PROBLEMS (ALP)

### 3.5 Solving ALP using Heuristic and CE Methods

We observe that if we are given the sequence in which planes land, then we can develop an algorithm for resolving the scheduling decisions.

### 3.5.1 Parallel Improving Technqiue

The order between aircraft (sequencing the aircraft) is setup according to priority rules which are based on the variables:

- $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the sooner earliest landing time.
- $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the earliest target landing time.
- $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the earliest latest landing time.
- $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest earliest time.
- $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}} / \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest latest time.
- $\mathbf{T i} /\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which has the soonest target.
- $\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ : The priority is given to the aircraft which causes the most important advance and lateness penalty.


## Example (3.5):

Call example (3.1) we have the following priority rules:

1. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
2. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
3. $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
4. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=(12.9,19,5,2.97)$, we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
5. $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}=(55.9,74.9,17)$, we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
6. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} /\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=(7.75,12.9,1.63)$, we have the sequence $3,1,2$.
7. $1 /\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=(0.05,0.05,0.03)$, we have the sequence $3,1,2$ or $3,2,1$.

Exercise (3.3): Find the priority rules for Exercise (3.2).
The adjusting landing time (scheduling aircraft) is the most important step in the improvement algorithm; the aim is to reduce the total cost of penalty caused by all aircraft. If we increase (reduce) the aircraft landing time, we check the interval of improving the landing aircraft time during the initialization: in this algorithm (Parallel Improving Algorithm (PIA)), we adjust the landing time of each aircraft during its time assignment.

## Algorithm (3.1): Parallel Improving Algorithm (PIA)

Let P be the list of aircraft set up according to a priority rule and $\mathrm{O}=\{ \}$.

1. $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{P} 1} \leftarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P} 1} ; \mathrm{P}_{1} \in \mathrm{O}$.
2. FOR $\mathrm{i}=2: \mathrm{N}$

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Pi}} \leftarrow \max \left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{i}}, \max _{\mathrm{P} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Pj}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{Pj}}\right)\right)
$$

END \{FOR i\}

## 3. REPEAT

Calculate penalty Cost Z
IF $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Pi}}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Pi}}\right)$
Reduce the landing time by 1 unit of time
ELSE $\left\{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Pi}} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Pi}}\right\}$
Increase the landing time by 1 unit of time
END \{IF \}
IF (the solution is unfeasible)
Reject the change and keep the last feasible solution.

## BREAK.

END \{IF\}
UNTIL (there is increase of penalty cost)
Example (3.6): For $\mathrm{N}=10$ which is shown in the Appendix, we have two tables (I, II), using PIA for the $1^{\text {st }}(7)$ planes.

1. The $1^{\text {st }}$ step is to set up an order between aircraft according to a priority rule. Suppose that the priority rule is the earliest target time. The order is as follows:
2. The $2^{\text {nd }}$| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | step is to assign landing time to the $1^{\text {st }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(P_{1}=3\right)$ by one of the assignment heuristic, i.e.: $\mathrm{t}_{3}=\mathrm{T}_{3}=98, \mathrm{O}=\{3\}$, then:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

3. For the $2^{\text {nd }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(P_{2}=4\right)$, then
$\mathrm{t}_{4} \leftarrow \max \left(\mathrm{~T}_{4}, \max _{\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}+\mathrm{S}_{3,4}\right)\right)=\max (106, \max (98+8))=106, \mathrm{O}=\{3,4\}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

4. For the $3^{\text {rd }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(P_{3}=5\right)$, then
$\mathrm{t}_{5} \leftarrow \max \left(\mathrm{~T}_{5}, \quad \max \left(\mathrm{t}_{3}+\mathrm{S}_{3,5}, \mathrm{t}_{4}+\mathrm{S}_{4,5}\right)\right)=\max (123, \max (98+8,106+8))=123$, $\mathrm{O}=\{3,4,5\}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 |  |  |  |  | 0 |

5. For the $4^{\text {th }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}=6\right)$, then
$\mathrm{t}_{6}=\max (135, \max (98+8,106+8,123+8))=135$,

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 135 |  |  |  | 0 |

$\mathrm{O}=\{3,4,5,6\}, \mathrm{Z}=0$.
6. For the $5^{\text {th }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(\mathrm{P}_{5}=7\right)$, then
$\mathrm{t}_{7}=\max (138, \max (98+8,106+8,123+8,135+8))=143 \neq 138, \mathrm{O}=\{3,4,5,6,7\}$,

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 135 | 143 |  |  | 150 |

here we need adjusting the landing time $\mathrm{t}_{7}=142$, then $\mathrm{t}_{6}=134$ :

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 134 | 142 |  |  | 150 |

And continue in decreasing until we obtain:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 131 | 139 |  |  | 150 |

If we continue another step we obtain:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 122 | 130 | 138 |  |  | 180 |

Since $Z=180$, we ignore this step and back to the last step when $Z=150$.
7. For the $6^{\text {th }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(\mathrm{P}_{6}=1\right)$, then
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=\max (155, \max (98+15,106+15,123+15,131+15,139+15))=155, \mathrm{O}=\{3,4$, $5,6,7,1\}$, now we need no adjusting the landing time so we obtain, $Z=700$

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 131 | 139 | 155 |  | 150 |

8. For the $7^{\text {th }}$ aircraft in the list $\left(\mathrm{P}_{7}=2\right)$, then
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=\max (258, \max (98+15,106+15,123+15,131+15,139+15,155+15))=258$, $\mathrm{O}=\{3,4,5,6,7,1,2\}$, now we need no adjusting the landing time so we obtain, $\mathrm{Z}=150$ :

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 131 | 139 | 155 | 258 | 150 |

Table (3.2) shows the implementation of PIA for this example.
Table (3.2): the results obtained from PIA for example (3.6).

| Stage | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Cost <br> $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 98 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 135 |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 98 | 106 | 123 | 131 | 139 |  |  | 150 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 98 | 106 | 118 | 131 | 139 | 155 |  | 150 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 98 | 106 | 118 | 131 | 139 | 155 | 258 | 150 |

Exercise (3.4): Apply PIA using $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ priority:

1. Using example (3.6) for $\mathrm{N}=8,9,10$.
2. $\mathrm{N}=5$;

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 129 | 190 | 84 | 89 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 155 | 250 | 93 | 98 | 111 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 305 | 400 | 143 | 148 | 161 |
| $\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |


| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 2 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 3 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 4 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 5 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 0 |

3. $\mathrm{N}=5$;

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ | 146 | 249 | 95 | 103 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 155 | 258 | 98 | 106 | 123 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 164 | 267 | 101 | 109 | 126 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 0 |

In table (3.3), we demonstrate the total penalty cost (Z) results of PIA for sequencing using different priorities.

Table (3.3): Results of PIA for different N.

| $\mathbf{N}$ | Penalty cost according to the priority rule's |  |  |  |  |  | Best | Opt. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} /\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{i}} / \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1280 | 700 | 2360 | 880 | 880 | 880 | 1090 | 700 | 700 |
| 15 | 1790 | 1500 | 2210 | 2210 | 1480 | 1480 | 1770 | 1480 | 1480 |
| 20 | 1790 | 1730 | 5890 | 5000 | 820 | 880 | 2140 | 820 | 820 |
| 20 | 4890 | 2520 | 6100 | 4210 | 2520 | 2650 | 3260 | 2520 | 2520 |
| 20 | 6470 | 5420 | 7060 | 4060 | 3100 | 3250 | 3220 | 3100 | 3100 |
| 30 | 24442 | 24442 | 24442 | 89766 | 31194 | 31194 | 37956 | 24442 | 24442 |
| 44 | 1550 | 1550 | 1550 | 73536 | 40330 | 33820 | 43443 | 1550 | 1550 |
| 50 | 18790 | 2530 | 61215 | 215025 | 152270 | 168505 | 141570 | 2530 | 1950 |

The best results are the shaded cells. From table (3.3), the best priorities is $T_{i}$ and $T_{i} /\left(g_{i}+h_{i}\right)$ for PIA.

