## CHAPTER FOUR

## CRYPTANALYSIS OF TRANSPOSITION

## CIPHER PROBLEMS USING COMBINATORIAL

## OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS TECHNIQUES

### 4.6 Applying Exact Methods with SR to Solve TCP

### 4.6.1 Applying CEM with SR to Solve TCP

Notice from table (4.15) that if $\mathrm{m} \leq 9$ we can apply CEM to solve TCP with $\mathrm{n}=11, \ldots, 17$, to obtain exact solution in reasonable time. To find ADK for each $n$ mentioned in table (4.15) we have to apply CEM for $\mathrm{m} \leq 9$. The CEM applied for $\sigma$ of $n$-sequences consists of $m$-subsequence to obtain $\pi$ of m-sequences where some subsequence is multi digits, then we called it multi digits CEM (MDCEM). Now we can propose a subalgorithm MDCEM:

## Subalgorithm MDCEM

READ $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m},\left(\mathrm{SL}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$.
$\operatorname{MDCEM}=\mathrm{CEM}\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SL}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$.
Table (4.16) shows the results of applying MDCEM with SR using table (4.15) for $\mathrm{n}=11, \ldots, 17$, and $\mathrm{L}=1000$, RT(m) and ERT(n) are the required and expected required time in seconds respectively.

Table (4.16): The results of applying MDCEM with SR for

$$
\mathrm{n}=11, \ldots, 17 .
$$

| N | m | m! | ADK, SOF(ADK) $\sim 1.72$ | MDCEM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | RT(m) | ERT(n) |
| 11 | 3 | 6 | (2-11-7-9, 4-1-10,6-3-8-5) | 0.02 | 10991~3h |
| 12 | 4 | 24 | (2-12-7, 9-5, 1-10-6, 3-8-4-11) | 0.04 | $34638 \approx 10 \mathrm{~h}$ |
| 13 | 5 | 120 | (2-13, 7-10-5-1, 11-6-3, 9-4-12, 8) | 0.16 | 91940 25 h |
| 14 | 6 | 720 | (2-14-8, 11-5, 1-12, 7, 3-10-4, 13-9-6) | 1.41 | 215228 260 h |
| 15 | 7 | 5040 | (3-15, 9, 11-6, 1-13-8, 4-10, 5-14, 12-2-7) | 9.69 | ------ |
| 16 | 8 | 40320 | (3, 16-9-12, 6-1, 14-8, 4, 11-5-15, 13-2, 7-10) | 76.09 | ------ |
| 17 | 9 | 362880 | (3-17-9, 13, 6-1,15-8, 4-11-5, 16, 14-2, 7,10-12) | 658.8 | ------ |

### 4.6.2 Applying New BAB with SR to Solve TCP

As well known, each arc in classical search tree of BAB method represents by single digit of n -sequence, and then branching from a node. We can exploit the SR to decrease the number of levels in BAB's search tree and solve a TCP with $\mathrm{m}-1$ levels instead of $\mathrm{n}-1$ levels by obtaining sequences $\pi$ of m-sequence. To make this happen we have to consider each arc as a string $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of digits with length $\mathrm{SL}_{\mathrm{k}}$.

Now we want to exploit the SR to construct a new style of BAB search tree. Each arc of BAB search tree may represents a subsequence of the main sequence. In section (4.3.2) we propose a new BAB method and called it MBAB, this method will be applied to find sequences $\pi$ of $m$-sequence with elements $S_{k}$. We call the new BAB method by multi digits BAB (MDBAB) method, which is shown below.

## Algorithm (4.5): Multi Digits BAB (MDBAB) algorithm

STEP(1): INPUT CT, L, m;

$$
\mathrm{LB}=1.0, \ell=0, \mathrm{~s} \pi=\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}\right), \mathrm{ND}=\mathrm{m},(\text { FOR } \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SEQ}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{k}) ;
$$

$\operatorname{STEP}(\mathbf{2}): \boldsymbol{\ell}=\boldsymbol{\ell}+1, \mathrm{j}=0$;

$$
\text { FOR } \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{ND}
$$

Branching from node last string $\ell$ in SEQ ;
UNSEQ= $s \pi$ without SEQ;
$\pi=$ concatenate(SEQ,UNSEQ);
Calculate $\mathrm{UB}_{\mathrm{k}}=\operatorname{SOF}(\pi) \quad\{$ in level $\boldsymbol{\ell}\}$
IF $\mathrm{UB}_{\mathrm{k}} \geq$ LB THEN

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}+1 \\
& \operatorname{LIST}(\mathrm{j},:)=\sigma ; \operatorname{SUB}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{UB}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## END;

END;
STEP(3): LB=mean $\{S U B\}$;
BestFit $=\max _{1 \leq \leq \leq j}\{\mathrm{SUB}\}, \operatorname{BestDK}=\operatorname{LIST}(\mathrm{i}) ;$
$\mathrm{SEQ}=$ cut from LIST first $\ell$ strings, $\operatorname{LIST}=\Phi, \mathrm{SUB}=\Phi ; \mathrm{ND}=\mathrm{j}$;
IF $\ell=\mathrm{j}-1$ STOP ELSE GOTO STEP(2);
IF BestFit $\geq 1.68$ STOP;
STEP(4): OUTPUT BestFit, BestDK;

Example (4.3): Let $\mathrm{n}=6$, (for any L) with $\sigma$ of 6 -sequence has SR with the following subsequencs: $S_{1}=(1), S_{2}=(4), S_{3}=(3,5), S_{4}=(6,2)$, with lengths $1,1,2,2$ respectively this mean $m=4$ and $\pi=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)=(1,4,3-5,6-2)$. First, set initial LB (ILB)=1.0.

For level 1: $\mathrm{UB}_{\{1\}}((1,4,3-5,6-2))=1.3513(\geq \mathrm{ILB}), \mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}((4,1,3-5,6-2))$ $=1.2717, \mathrm{UB}_{\{3-5\}}((3-5,1,4,6-2))=1.2281, \mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}((6-2,1,4,3-5))=1.3302$, so we branch from the nodes with good UB's, the new $\mathrm{LB}_{1}=\operatorname{mean}\left(\mathrm{UB}_{\{1\}}\right)$ $=\mathrm{UB}_{\{1\}}=1.3513$.

For level 2: from node with $\mathrm{UB}_{\{1\}}, \mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}((1,4,3-5,6-2))=1.3513$ $\left(\geq \mathrm{LB}_{1}\right), \quad \mathrm{UB}_{\{3-5\}} \quad((1,3-5,4,6-2))=1.2312, \quad \mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}((1,6-2,4,3-5))=1.7187$ $\left(\geq \mathrm{LB}_{1}\right)$, so we branch from the nodes with $\mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}=1.3513$ and $\mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}=1.7178$, the new $\mathrm{LB}_{2}=$ mean $\left(\mathrm{UB}_{\{1\}}, \mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}\right)=1.5350$.

For level 3: from the node with $\mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}, \mathrm{UB}_{\{3-5\}}((1,4,3-5,6-2))$ $=1.3513$ and $\mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}((1,4,6-2,3-5))=1.3251$. From node with $\mathrm{UB}_{\{6-2\}}$, $\mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}((1,6-2,4,3-5))=1.7187\left(\geq \mathrm{LB}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{UB}_{\{3-5\}}((1,6-2,3-5,4))=1.3547$ so the only $\mathrm{UB} \geq \mathrm{LB}_{2}$ is the one at node with $\mathrm{UB}_{\{4\}}$ to obtain the best fitness $=1.7187$ hence the sequence $\pi=(1,6-2,4,3-5)$ is the ADK (see figure (4.5)).


Figure (4.5): Applying of MDBAB for $\mathrm{n}=6$.
From figure (4.5), the optimal solution is $\sigma=(1,6,2,4,3,5)$, with $\operatorname{SOF}(6, \sigma)=1.7187$. Since $m=4$, then the MDBAB search tree has 3 levels. The shaded node is the optimal solution.

Remark (4.3): For $m \leq 9$, if the current value of the upper bound $\mathrm{UB}_{\mathrm{k}}(\pi) \approx 1.7$ (which is the fitness of text using ADK) is obtained in any level $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{m}$ when applying MDBAB we can stop the process and no need for more branching.

Now we can propose a subalgorithm MDBAB:
The RT(m) signed with * is the expected time which is interpolated by using Lagrange interpolation. Now we can propose a subalgorithm MDBAB:

## Subalgorithm MDBAB

READ $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SL}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$.
$\operatorname{MDBAB}=\mathrm{MBAB}\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SL}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$

### 4.7 The Construction of Cryptanalysis System for TCP

In this section, we will suggest a new cryptanalysis system for TCP using all the exact and local search methods mentioned above.

Now to apply MDCEM, we check if $m$ less or equal to a reasonable number can be manipulated by MDCEM ( $\mathrm{m} \leq 8$ ). While if ( $8<\mathrm{m} \leq 12$ ) we can applied MDBAB. From example (4.4), for key\#8, m=4, so TCP can be solved by MDCEM in $4!(=24)$ states. Otherwise for ( $\mathrm{m}>13$ ), we reapplied SRKBA to solve TCP or to obtain more new ASR. These procedures are repeated until the TCP is solved.

We introduce subalgorithm FIND_SR to obtain the SR by applying CBA.

## Subalgorithm FIND_SR

FOR i=1 : ss
FOR $\mathrm{j}=1: \mathrm{n}-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{1}=\text { Key }_{\mathrm{i}, j} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}=\text { Key }_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}+1} ; \\
& \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)+1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

END \{i,j\};
Calculate $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) /(\mathrm{ss} * \mathrm{NG})$;
IF $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{T}_{1}$ THEN FIND $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right), \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$;

