

linear Algebra 2

Dr.Jawad Kadhim Khalaf Al-Delfi
Lecture10

Simplex method

34 - a degenerate basic feasible solution.

قاعدة
lies in the Minimum Ratio Rule:

When we get two constraints having the same least ratio value. This result in a tie for selecting which basic variable will leave the basis, and this may introduce some complications in solving the problem.

~~To show that the business is profitable~~

Maximize: $Z = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3$

Subject to: $x_1 - x_2 \leq 2$

$$2x_1 + x_3 \leq 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0$$

Converting the problem to standard form by adding slack variables, we get

are non-negative, the current basic feasible

solution is optimal. Otherwise, select the

non basic variable with the lowest value in the

$\bar{C}$ -row to enter the basis. نستخدم أقل قيمة

3) Apply the minimum ratio rule to determine the

basic variable to leave the basis

4) Find the new tableau of the new canonical

system by using the pivot operation, and then return to step 2.

Ties in The Selection of The Non Basic

Variable:

إذا كانت فيه نفس الرقم واحد نافذة مادى (بأكبر)

In maximization problems (minimization problems,

If there exists more than one variable with

the same largest positive value (the same

lowest negative value) in the $\bar{C}$ -row. Then

we select any one of them.

Definition: If one or more than one basic

variable are equal to zero in the basic feasible

solution, then the basic feasible is called

36.

Tableau 2a

C_j	4	0	3	0	0	0	Constants
Basis	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	
x_1	1	-1	0	1	0	0	2
x_5	0	0	2	1	-2	1	0
x_6	0	0	2	1	-1	0	1
$\bar{C}$ -row	0	4	3	-4	0	0	$Z=8$
							R_1
							$R_2 - 2R_1$
							$R_3 - R_1$

x_2 will be the new basic variable and the minimum

ratio of $\infty, \frac{0}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ is 0 and thus x_5 will be

non-basic

Tableau 3a

C_j	4	0	3	0	0	0	Constants
Basis	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	
x_1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2
x_2	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
x_6	0	0	0	0	-1	1	1
$\bar{C}$ -row	0	0	1	0	-2	0	$Z=8$
							$R_1 + \frac{1}{2}R_2$
							$\frac{1}{2}R_2$
							$R_3 - R_2$

Notice that there is no improvement in the

value of Z happen in tableau 3a.

x_3 will be the new basic variable and the

minimum ratio of $\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{0}{-\frac{1}{2}}, \infty$ is 0, and

x_2 will be non-basic

35.

Maximize: $Z = 4x_1 + 3x_3$

Subject to: $x_1 - x_2 + x_4 = 2$

$$2x_1 + x_3 + x_5 = 4$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_6 = 3$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, x_4 \geq 0, x_5 \geq 0, x_6 \geq 0.$$

We have a basic feasible solution in canonical form with x_4, x_5, x_6 as basic variables

Tableau 1

C_j	4	0	3	0	0	0	Constants
Basis	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	
x_4	1	-1	0	1	0	0	2
x_5	2	0	1	0	1	0	4
x_6	1	1	1	0	0	1	3
$\bar{C}$ -row	4	0	3	0	0	0	$Z=0$

x_1 will be the new basic variable, but we have

a tie in the minimum ratio of $\frac{2}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{3}{1}$

which is 2 thus we have either x_4 will be

non basic or x_5 will be non basic. let us

first select x_4 to be non-basic

المتغير الأساسي

38.

Tableau 2b

C_j Basis	C_j Basis	Constants					
		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
0	x_4	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
4	x_1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2
0	x_6	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
$\bar{C}$ -row		0	0	1	0	-2	0
		$Z=8$					

x_3 will be the new basic variable and the

minimum ratio of $\infty, \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ is 2 and

thus x_6 will be non-basic

Tableau 3b

C_j Basis	C_j Basis	Constants					
		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
0	x_4	0	0	0	1	-1	1
4	x_1	1	-1	0	0	1	-1
3	x_3	0	2	1	0	-1	2
$\bar{C}$ -row		0	-2	0	0	-1	-2
		$Z=10$					

Thus the optimal solution is $x_1=1, x_2=0,$

$x_3=2, x_4=1, x_5=0, x_6=0$ and the

optimal value is $Z=10$

Un Bounded Solution:

One of the complication of the minimum ratio

37.

Tableau 4a

C_j Basis	C_j Basis	Constants					
		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
4	x_1	1	-1	0	1	0	0
3	x_3	0	2	1	-2	1	0
0	x_6	0	0	0	1	-1	1
$\bar{C}$ -row		0	-2	0	2	-3	0
		$Z=8$					

x_4 will be the new basic variable, and the

minimum ratio of $\frac{2}{\infty}, \infty, \frac{1}{1}$ is 1 thus x_6

will be non-basic

Tableau 5a

C_j Basis	C_j Basis	Constants					
		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
4	x_1	1	-1	0	0	1	-1
3	x_3	0	2	1	0	-1	2
0	x_4	0	0	0	1	-1	1
$\bar{C}$ -row		0	-2	0	0	-1	-2
		$Z=10$					

Thus the optimal solution is $x_1=1, x_2=0,$

$x_3=2, x_4=1, x_5=0, x_6=0$ and the

optimal value is $Z=10$.

We go back now to tableau 1 and we

select x_5 to be non-basic

40. X_2 will be the new basic variable and the minimum ratio of ∞ and $\frac{4}{2}$ is 2, thus X_4 will be non-basic.

Tableau 2

C _j	3	4	0	0	Constants
	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	
0	X_1	$-\frac{5}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{3}{2}$
4	X_2	$-\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1
					2
					$R_1 + \frac{3}{2}R_2$
					$\frac{1}{2}R_2$
					$Z = 8$

X_1 will be the new basic variable but both ratios are infinite. Thus when X_1 increase then X_2 and X_3 will also increase and hence the linear program has unbounded solution.

Finding a basic feasible solution:

After we convert the problem to the standard form, we may find that some of the constraints have no basic variable. In this case we can add a new variable to act as the basic variable in each of those constraints, and then we will have the system in canonical form.

39. rule when we are not able to determine which basic variable should leave the basis. This is happen when we have all the coefficients of the selected variable to enter the basis are non-positive. In this case we have unbounded solution.

Example: Find the optimal solution of the following linear program

$$\text{Maximize: } Z = 3X_1 + 4X_2$$

$$\text{Subject to: } 2X_1 - 3X_2 + X_3 = 2$$

$$-3X_1 + 2X_2 + X_4 = 4$$

$$X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, X_3 \geq 0, X_4 \geq 0.$$

We have a basic feasible solution in canonical form with X_3, X_4 as basic variables

Tableau 1

C _j	3	4	0	0	Constants
	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	
0	X_3	2	-3	1	0
0	X_4	-3	2	0	1
					4
					$Z = 0$