a- Banker's Algorithm

This Alg. Could be used in a banking system to ensure that the bank never allocates its available cash in such a way that it can no longer satisfy the needs of all its customers. When a new a process enters the system it must declare the maximum number of instances of each resource type that it may need.

- The maximum must be \leq total number of resources in the system.

-When a user requests a set of resources must be leave the system in a safe state if the resources are allocated otherwise the process must wait until some other process releases enough resources.

Several data structures must be maintained to implement banker's algorithm Let n be the number of processes in the system and m be the number of resource types . We need the following data structures:

- Available : A vector of length m indicates the number of available resources of each type.

available . If available [j] = k these are k instances of resource type R_j

- Max : An $n_x m$ matrix defines the maximum demand of each process . If max[i,j] = k, then process P_i may request at most k instances of resource type R_j
- Allocation : An n_xm the resources currently allocated to each process . If allocation [i,j] = k then process p_i is currently allocated process p_i is currently allocated 1 instances of resources of resource type R_j .
- Need : An n_xm indicates the remaining resource need of each process . If need[i,j] = k then process p_i may need k more instances of resource type R_j to complete its task .

Need [i,j] = Max [i,j] - Allocation [i,j]

1- If request $i \leq Need i$ go to step 2 otherwise raise an error since the process has exceeded its maximum claim.

2- If request i \leq Available go to step 3 . Otherwise p_i must wait since the resources are not available .

3- The system pretends to have allocated the requested resources to process p_i by modifying the state as follows:

Available := Available – Requesti , Allocationi := Allocationi + Requesti ,

Needi:= Needi - Requesti,

If the resulting resource allocation state is safe the transaction is completed and process p_i is allocated its resources. If the new state is unsafe the p_i must wait for request i and the old resource allocation state is restored.

c- Safety Algorithm

The algorithm for finding out whether or out a system is in a safe state can be described as follows:

- 1- Let work and finish be vectors of length m and n respectively.
 Initialize work := Available and Finish [i] := False for I = 1,2, ..., n
- 2- Find an i such that both
- Finish [i] = false
- Need $i \le work$
- If no such i exits, go to step 4
- 3- Work := work +allocation I Finish[i] := true go to step2
- 4- If Finish [i] = true for all I then the system is in a safe state

This algorithm may require an order of $m_x n^2$ operations to decide whether a state is safe. Example:

Consider a system with five processes $\{p_0, p_1, p_2, ...\}$ and three resource types $\{A, B, C\}$. Resource type A has 10 instances. Resource type B has 5 instances, and resource type c has 7 instance. Suppose that at time T_0 the following snapshot of the system has been taken.

Allocation	Max	Available	
A B C	ABC	A B C	
010	753	332	\mathbf{P}_0
200	322		P ₁
302	902		\mathbf{P}_2
211	222		P ₃
002	433		P ₄

The content of the matrix Need is defined to be max-Allocation and is:

	Need	
	A B C	
\mathbf{P}_0	743	
P ₁	122	
P ₂	600	
P ₃	011	
P ₄	4 3 1	

The system is in the safe state if the processes executed in the sequence (p_1 , p_3 , p_4 , p_2 , p_0). Suppose now that process p_i requests one additional instance of resource type A and two instance of resources type C so request 1 = (1, 0, 2)

To decide whether this request can be immediately granted we first check that

Request $1 \le \text{Available}$ (that is, (1,0,2) \le (3,3,2)) which is true we then pretend that this request has been fulfilled and we arrive at the following new state.

Allocation	Max	Available	
A B C	ABC	A B C	
010	743	332	P ₀
302	020		P ₁
302	600		P ₂
2 1 1	011		P ₃
0 0 2	431		P ₄

By execute the safety Alg. We find the sequence (p_1 , p_3 , p_4 , p_0 , p_2) satisfies our safety requirements . Hence we can immediately grant the request of process p_1

If p_4 request for (3, 3, 0). The request can not granted since the resources are not available. Request 1> Available. If p_0 request (0, 2, 0) can not granted even though the resources are available since the resulting state is <u>unsafe</u>.

Deadlock Detection

If a system does not employ some protocol that ensures that no deadlock will never occur. Then a detection and recovery scheme must be implemented . The system can use an algorithm to examines the state of the system periodically to determine whether has occurred. If so the system must recover from the deadlock by providing :

. If so the system must recover from the deadlock by providing :

- a- Maintain information about the current <u>allocation</u> of resources to processes and outstanding <u>request.</u>
- b- Provide an Alg. That use the above information to determine whether the system has entered the deadlock state.

The detection Alg. Employs several time – varying data structures that are very similar to those used in the Banker's Algorithm :

- Available
- Allocation
- **Request** . An $n_x m$ matrix indicating the current request of each process.

If Request [i,j] = k then p_i is requesting k more instances of resource type r_j .

The detection Alg. Simply investigates every possible allocation sequence for the processes that remain to be completed.

The detection Alg . as follows:

- 1- Let work and finish be vectors of length m and n respectively. Initialize Work := Available, for i = 1,2,3,..., n. If allocation ≠ 0 the Finish [i] := false.
 Otherwise, Finish[i] := false.
- 2- Find an index i such that :
- Finish [i] = false , and
- Request $i \leq work$.
- If no such I exits go to step 4.
- 3- Work := work +Allocation i
 - Finish [i] := true
 - Go to step2
- 4- If Finish [i] = false , for some i , $1 \le i \le n$ then the system is in a deadlock state. More over , if Finish [i] = false then process p_i is deadlocked.

Example

Consider a system with five processes $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_4\}$ and three resources types $\{$ A=7 instance , B =2 , C=6 instance $\}$ suppose that at time T_0 we the following resource allocation state.

Allocation	Max	Available	
A B C	ABC	A B C	
010	000	000	\mathbf{P}_0
200	202		P ₁
303	000		\mathbf{P}_2
2 1 1	100		P ₃
002	002		P ₄

If we execute the detection Alg. We find the system is not in a deadlock state and the sequence $< p_0$, p_2 , p_3 , p_1 , $p_4 >$ will result in finish [i] = true for all i.

Suppose now that process p2 makes one additional request for an instance of type C. the Request matrix is modified as follows :

	Need	
	A B C	
Po	000	
P ₁	202	
P ₂	001	
P3	100	
P₄	002	

We claim that the system is now deadlocked . Although we can reclaim the resources held by process p_0 the number of available resources is not sufficient to fut fill the requests of the other processes . Thus a deadlock exist , consisting of processes $< p_1$, p_2 , p_3 and $p_4 >$.

- Single Instance of each resource type

The detection Alg. is of order $m_x n^2$. If all resources have only a single instance we can define a faster Alg. we will use a variant of the resource allocation graph called a wait – for graph . This graph is obtained from the resource allocation graph by removing the nodes of type resource and collapsing the appropriate edges. Where the edge from p_i is waiting for process p_j to release a resource that it needs.

An edge (p_i, p_j) exists in a wait – for graph if and only if the resource RAG contains two edges (p_i, r_q) and (r_q, p_i) for some resource, see fig bellow



Fig: RAG (a) and its wait – for graph (b)

A deadlock exists in the system if and only if the wait – for graph contains a cycle.

- Recovery from deadlock

When a detection Alg. determines that a deadlock exists the system must recover from the deadlock .

There are two options for breaking a deadlock

- a- **Process termination** by killing a process, two methods:
- Kill all deadlocked processes.
- Kill one process at a time until the deadlock cycle is eliminated.

b- **Resource preemption**, to eliminate deadlocks using resource preemption we can preempt some resources from processes and give them to other processes until the deadlock cycle is broken .

If preemption is required in order to deal with deadlocks then three issues need to be addressed:

- Selecting a victim: which process and which resources .
- Rollback : if we preempt a resource from a process what should be done with that process?
- Starvation : How do we ensure that Starvation will not occur? That is how can we guarantee that resources will not always be preempted from the some process?