Operator-Precedence Parsing (OPP)

The operator-precedence parser is a shift —reduce parser that can be easily constructed by hand. Operator precedence parser can be constructed from a small class of grammars which is called operator grammar. These grammars have the property (among other essential requirements)

- That no production right side is ε
- And no production right side has two adjacent nonterminal.

Example: The following grammar for expressions

$$\mathbf{E} \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E} / (\mathbf{E}) / -\mathbf{E} / \mathbf{id}$$

 $\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow + / - / * / \div / \uparrow$

Is not an **operator grammar**, because the right side **EAE** has two (in fact three) consecutive nonterminals. However, if we substitute for **A** each of its alternatives, we obtain the following operator grammar:

$$E \longrightarrow E+E / E-E / E*E / E÷E / E↑E / (E) / -E / id$$

We now describe an easy-to-implement parsing technique called operatorprecedence parsing.

operator-precedence relation:

In operator-precedence parsing, there are three disjoint **precedence relations** namely:

$$< \bullet - less than$$
 = $\bullet - equal to$ $\bullet > - greater than$

The relations give the following meanings:

| RELATION | MEANING | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| a <• b | a "yields precedence to" b | | | |
| $a = b$ $a \cdot > b$ | a "has the same precedence as" ba "takes precedence over" b | | | |

How to Create Operator-Precedence Relations:

- We use associativity and precedence relations among operators.
- 1. If operator $\theta 1$ has higher precedence than operator $\theta 2$, then make $\theta 1. > \theta 2$ and $\theta 2 < . \theta 1$
- 2. If operators $\theta 1$ and $\theta 2$, are of equal precedence, then make $\theta 1. > \theta 2$ and $\theta 2. > \theta 1$ if operators are left associative $\theta 1 < . \theta 2$ and $\theta 2 < . \theta 1$ if right associative
- 3. Make the following for all operators θ :

$$\theta < . id, id. > \theta$$
 $\theta < . (, (< . \theta)$
 $0 < . (< . \theta)$
 $0 < .$

4. Also make

These rules ensure that both id and (E) will be reduced to E. Also, \$ serves as both the left and right endmarker, causing handles to be found between \$'s wherever possible

Note:

- Id has higher precedence than any other symbol
- \$ has lowest precedence.
- if two operators have equal precedence, then we check the Associativity of that particular operator.

Parsing Techniques (Bottom-Up Parsing)

Example:

Operator-precedence relations for the grammar

 $E\to E+E\mid E-E\mid E^*E\mid E/E\mid E\uparrow E\mid (E)\mid -E\mid id$, is given in the following table assuming

- 1. ^ is of highest precedence and right-associative
- 2. * and / are of next higher precedence and left-associative, and
- 3. + and are of lowest precedence and left-associative

Note that the X in the table denote error entries

| | + | - | * | / | ٨ | (|) | id | \$ |
|----|----|----|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----------|
| + | •> | •> | <* | <• | <• | <• | •> | <• | •> |
| - | •> | •> | < • | <• | <• | <• | •> | <• | •> |
| * | •> | •> | · \ | •> | <• | <• | •> | <• | . |
| / | •> | •> | ·> | •> | <• | <• | •> | <• | •> |
| ٨ | •> | •> | ·> | •> | <• | <• | •> | <• | •> |
| (| <• | <• | <• | <• | <• | <• | = | <• | * |
|) | •> | •> | ·> | •> | •> | × | •> | × | •> |
| id | •> | •> | •> | •> | •> | × | •> | × | •> |
| \$ | <• | <• | <• | <• | <• | <• | × | <• | × |

Algorithm:

}

Operator-precedence parsing algorithm:

Input: an input string w & table of precedence relations (holds precedence relations between certain terminals).

Output: if w is well formed, a skeletal parse tree, with a placeholder non-terminal E labeling all interior nodes; otherwise, an error indication.

Method: initially the stack contains \$ and the input buffer the string w\$.to parse, we execute the following program:

```
set p to point to the first symbol of w$;

repeat forever

if ($ is on top of the stack and p points to $) then return

else {

let a be the topmost terminal symbol on the stack and let b be the symbol pointed to by p;

if (a < b or a = b) then { /* SHIFT */

push b onto the stack;
```

```
else if (a > b) then repeat pop stack

/* REDUCE */
```

advance p to the next input symbol;

until (the top of stack terminal is related by < to the terminal most
recently popped);
else error();</pre>

Stack implementation of operator precedence parser:

operator precedence parsing uses a stack and precedence relation table for its implementation of above algorithm. It is a shift-reduce parsing containing all four actions shift, reduce, accept and error (like shift-reduce technique but in the other manner).

The initial configuration of an operator precedence parsing is

Stack Input \$ W\$

Where W is the input string to be parsed

Parsing Techniques (Bottom-Up Parsing)

the precedence and associativity of the rule on the top of stack, and the current token are used to determine whether to shift or reduce. this is done as follow:

When the relation between the top of stack and the leftmost of input word is .> this is mean perform reduce action, otherwise (when the relation < or =) the action is Shift .example 1 explain how use the Operator precedence for parse the an expression

Ex: - 1 Use Stack implementation of operator precedence parser to check this sentence id + id by this grammar: $E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid id$

Sol:

| | | <u> </u> | | |
|--|---|-----------|--|--|
| Stack | | Input | | |
| \$ | < | id + id\$ | | |
| \$ < id | > | + id\$ | | |
| \$ <id></id> | | + id\$ | | |
| \$ <e+< th=""><td></td><td>+ id\$</td></e+<> | | + id\$ | | |
| \$ <e+< th=""><td><</td><td>id\$</td></e+<> | < | id\$ | | |
| \$ <e+<id< th=""><td>></td><td>\$</td></e+<id<> | > | \$ | | |
| \$ <e+<id></e+<id> | | \$ | | |
| \$ <e+e></e+e> | | \$ | | |
| \$ E | | \$ | | |
| accept | | | | |

Parsing Techniques (Bottom-Up Parsing)

Ex2: Consider the following grammar

$$E \rightarrow EOE \mid -E \mid (E) \mid id$$

Using Operator precedence for parse the expression

 $id1*(id2+id3) \uparrow id$

Sol:

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E - E \mid E * E \mid E / E \mid E / E \mid (E) \mid - E \mid id$$

| Stack | | input | Action |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| \$ | < | id1*(id2+id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$ id1 | > | *(id2+id3) ↑ id \$ | Reduce E→id |
| \$E | < | *(id2+id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$E* | < | (id2+id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$ E*(| < | id2+id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$ E*(id2 | > | +id3) ↑ id \$ | Reduce E→id |
| \$ E*(E | < | +id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$ E*(E+ | < | id3) ↑ id \$ | shift |
| \$ E*(E+ id3 | > |) ↑ id \$ | Reduce E→id |
| \$ E*(E+ E | > |) ↑ id \$ | Reduce E→E+E |
| \$ E*(E | = |) ↑ id \$ | Shift |
| \$E*(E) | > | ↑ id\$ | Reduce $E \rightarrow (E)$ |
| \$E * E | < | ↑ id\$ | shift |
| \$E*E↑ | < | id\$ | shift |
| \$E*E↑ id | > | \$ | Reduce E → id |
| \$E*E↑ E | > | \$ | Reduce $E \rightarrow E \uparrow$ |
| | | | E |
| \$ E * E | > | \$ | Reduce E→E*E |
| \$ E | | \$ | Accept |

H.W Try input $id*(id \uparrow id)-id/id$) using the same grammar in EX2