## CH-8 (Syllabus) CHs-13\&14 (Book)

## Interference of two beams of light

### 13.1 HUYGENS' PRINCIPLE

When waves pass through an aperture or past the edge of an obstacle, they always spread to some extent into the region which is not directly exposed to the oncoming waves. This phenomenon is called diffraction. In order to explain this bending of light, Huygens nearly three centuries ago proposed the rule that each point on a wave front may be regarded as a new source of waves. This principle has very far-reaching applications and will be used later in discussing the diffraction of light, but we shall consider here only a very simple proof of its correctness. In Fig. 13A let a set of plane waves approach the barrier $A B$ from the left, and let the barrier contain an opening S of width somewhat smaller than the wavelength. At all points except $S$ the waves will be either reflected or absorbed, but $S$ will be free to produce a disturbance behind the screen. It is found experimentally, in agreement with the above principle that the waves spread out from $S$ in the form of semicircles.

Huygens' principle as shown in Fig. 13A can be illustrated very successfully with water waves. An arc lamp on the floor, with a glass-bottomed tray or tank above it, will cast shadows of waves on a white ceiling. A vibrating strip of metal or a wire fastened to one prong of a tuning fork of low frequency will serve as a source of waves at one end of the tray. If an electrically driven tuning fork is used, the waves can be made apparently to stand still by placing a slotted disk on the shaft of a motor in front of the arc lamp. The disk is set rotating with the same frequency as the tuning fork to give the stroboscopic effect. This experiment can be performed for a fairly large audience and is well worth doing.
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If the experiment in Fig. 13A is performed with light, one would naturally expect, from the fact that light generally travels in straight lines that merely a narrow patch of light would appear at D. However, if the slit is made very narrow, an appreciable broadening of this patch is observed, its breadth increasing as the slit is narrowed further. This is remarkable evidence that light does not always travel in straight lines and that waves on passing through a narrow opening spread out into a continuous fan of light rays. When the screen CE is replaced by a photographic plate, a picture like the one shown in Fig. 13B is obtained. The light is most intense in the forward direction, but its intensity decreases slowly as the angle increases. If the slit is small compared with the wavelength of light, the intensity does not come to zero even when the angle of observation becomes $90^{\circ}$.


FIGURE 13A: Diffraction of waves passing through a small aperture.
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### 13.2 YOUNG'S EXPERIMENT

The original experiment performed by Young is shown schematically in Fig. 13C. Sunlight was first allowed to pass through a pinhole $S$ and then, at a considerable distance away, through two pinholes $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, The two sets of spherical waves emerging from the two holes interfered with each other in such a way as to form a symmetrical pattern of varying intensity on the screen AC. Since this early experiment was performed, it has been found convenient to replace the pinholes by narrow slits and to use a source giving monochromatic light, i.e., light of a single wavelength.

In place of spherical wave fronts we now have cylindrical wave fronts, represented equally well in two dimensions by the same Fig. 13C. If the circular lines represent crests of waves, the intersections of any two lines represent the arrival at those points of two waves with the same phase or with phases differing by a multiple of 2 n . Such points are therefore those of maximum disturbance or brightness. A close examination of the light on the screen will reveal evenly spaced light and dark bands or fringes, similar to those shown in Fig. 13D. Such photographs are obtained by replacing the screen AC of Fig. 13C by a photographic plate.


FIGURE 13C: Experimental arrangement for Young's double-slit experiment.
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A very simple demonstration of Young's experiment can be accomplished in the laboratory or lecture room by setting up a single-filament lamp L (Fig. BE) at the front of the room. The straight vertical filament S acts as the source and first slit. Double slits for each observer can be easily made from small photographic plates about 1 to 2 in . square. The slits are made in the photographic emulsion by drawing the point of a penknife across the plate, guided by a straightedge. The plates need not be developed or blackened but can be used as they are. The lamp is now viewed by holding the double slit D close to the eye E and looking at the lamp filament. If the slits are close together, for example, 0.2 mm apart, they give widely spaced fringes, whereas slits farther apart, for example, 1.0 mm , give very narrow fringes. A piece of red glass $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ placed adjacent to and above another of green glass in front of the lamp will show that the red waves produce wider fringes than the green, which we shall see is due to their greater wavelength.

Frequently one wishes to perform accurate experiments by using more nearly monochromatic light than that obtained by white light and a red or green glass filter. Perhaps the most convenient method is to use the sodium arc now available on the market or a mercury arc plus a filter to isolate the green line, $\lambda 5461$ A suitable filter consists of a combination of didymium glass, to absorb the yellow lines, and a light yellow glass, to absorb the blue and violet lines.


FIGURE 13D: Interference fringes produced by a double slit using the arrangement shown in Fig. 13C.


FIGURE 13E: Simple method for observing interference fringes.

### 13.3 INTERFERENCE FRINGES FROM A DOUBLE SOURCE

We shall now derive an equation for the intensity at any point P on the screen (Fig. 13F) and investigate the spacing of the interference fringes. Two waves arrive at P , having traversed different distances $S_{2} P$ and $S_{1} P$. Hence they are superimposed with it phase difference given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Delta=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}\left(S_{2} P-S_{1} P\right) \tag{13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that the waves start out from $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in the same phase, because these slits were taken to be equidistant from the source slit S . Furthermore, the amplitudes are practically the same if (as is usually the case) $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are of equal width and very close together. The problem of finding the resultant intensity at $P$ therefore reduces, where we considered the addition of two simple harmonic motions of the same frequency and amplitude, but of phase difference $\delta$. The intensity is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \approx A^{2}=4 a^{2} \cos ^{2} \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a is the amplitude of the separate waves and A that of their resultant.
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It now remains to evaluate the phase difference in terms of the distance x on the screen from the central point $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the separation $d$ of the two slits, and the distance $D$ from the slits to the screen. The corresponding path difference is the distance $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ in Fig. 13F, where the dashed line $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ has been drawn to make $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and A equidistant from P. As Young's experiment is usually performed, $D$ is some thousand times larger than $d$ or $\boldsymbol{x}$. Hence the angles $\theta$ and $\theta^{\prime}$ are very small and practically equal. Under these conditions, $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{AS}_{2}$ may be regarded as a right triangle, and the path difference becomes $\mathrm{d} \sin \theta^{\prime} \sim \mathrm{d} \sin \theta$. To the same approximation, we may set the sine of the angle equal to the tangent, so that $\sin \theta \sim \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{D}$. With these assumptions, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=d \sin \theta=d \frac{x}{D} \tag{13c}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the value of the path difference to be substituted in Eq. (13a) to obtain the phase difference $\delta$. Now Eq. (13b) for the intensity has maximum values equal to $4 a^{2}$ whenever $\delta$ is an integral multiple of $\lambda$, and according to Eq. (13a) this will occur when the path difference is an integral multiple of A. Hence we have

$$
\frac{x d}{D}=0, \lambda, 2 \lambda, 3 \lambda, \ldots=m \lambda
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=m \lambda \frac{D}{d} \quad \text { Bright fringes } \tag{13d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimum value of the intensity is zero, and this occurs when $\delta=\pi, 3 \pi, 5 \pi, \ldots$. For these points

$$
\frac{x d}{D}=\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{3 \lambda}{2}, \frac{5 \lambda}{2}, \ldots=\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda \cdot \frac{D}{d} \quad \text { Dark fringes } \tag{13e}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The whole number m , which characterizes a particular bright fringe, is called the order of interference. Thus the fringes with $\mathrm{m}=0,1,2, \ldots$ are called the zero, first, second, etc., orders. According to these equations the distance on the screen between two successive fringes, which is obtained by changing m by unity in either Eq. (13d) or (13e), is constant and equal to $\lambda \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}$. Not only is this equality of spacing verified by measurement of an interference pattern such as Fig. 130, but one also finds by experiment that its magnitude is directly proportional to the slitscreen distance $D$, inversely proportional to the separation of the slits d, and directly proportional to the wavelength $\lambda$.
Knowledge of the spacing of these fringes thus gives us a direct determination of $\lambda$ in terms of known quantities.


FIGURE 13G: The composition of two waves of the same frequency and amplitude but different phase.

## Explanation

Whether the two waves are in phase or out of phase is determined by the value of $\delta$.
Constructive interference occurs when $\delta$ is zero or an integer multiple of the wavelength $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \delta=d \sin \theta=m \lambda, m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots(\text { constructive } \\
& \text { interference) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
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where $m$ is called the order number. The zeroth-order $(m=0)$ maximum corresponds to the central bright fringe at $\theta=0$, and the first-order maxima $(m= \pm 1)$ are the bright fringes on either side of the central fringe.

On the other hand, when $\delta$ is equal to an odd integer multiple of $\lambda / 2$, the waves will be out $180^{\circ}$ of phase at $P$, resulting in destructive interference with a dark fringe on the screen. The condition for destructive interference is given by:
$\delta=d \sin \theta=\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda, \quad m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$ (destructive interference)
If a path difference of $\delta=\lambda / 2(m=0)$ results in a destructive interference and

$$
\delta=\lambda(m=1) \text { leads to a constructive interference. }
$$

These maxima and minima of intensity exist throughout the space behind the slits. A lens is not required to produce them, although they are usually so fine that a magnifier or eyepiece must be used to see them'. Because of the approximations $=$ made in deriving Eq. (13c), careful measurements would show that, particularly in the region near the slits, the fringe spacing departs from the simple linear dependence required by Eq. (13d). A section of the fringe system in the plane of the paper of Fig. 13C, instead of consisting of a system of straight lines radiating from the midpoint between the slits, is actually a set of hyperbolas. The hyperbola, being the curve for which the difference in the distance from two fixed points is constant, obviously fits the condition for a given fringe, namely, the constancy of the path difference. Although this deviation from linearity may become important with sound and other waves, it is usually negligible when the wavelengths are as short as those of light.

Example -1: (Explanation)
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In Young's experiment, the distance between the two slits is 0.8 mm and the distance of the screen from the slits is 1.2 m . If the fringe width is 0.75 mm , calculate the wavelength of light.

## Example - 2: (Explanation)

In Young's double slit experiment the distance between the slits is 1 mm and fringe width is 0.60 mm when a light of certain wavelength is used. When the screen is moved through 0.25 m the fringe width increases by 0.15 mm . What is the wavelength of light used?
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Ans: Wavelength of light used is $6000 \AA$

## Example -3: (Explanation)

In Young's experiment, interference bands are produced on the screen placed 1.5 m from two slits 0.15 mm apart and illuminated by the light of wavelength $6000 \AA$ Find (1) fringe width (2) change in fringe width if the screen is taken away from the slits by 50 cm .

## Solution:
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Ans: Initial fringe width is 6 mm and the change in fringe width is 2 mm

## Example - 4: (Explanation)

A light falls on two slits 2-mm apart and produces on a screen 1 m away from the fourth-order bright line $1-\mathrm{mm}$ from the center of the pattern. What is the wavelength of the light used?

## Solution

## Given:

Distance between slits $(\mathrm{d})=2 \mathrm{~mm}=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
Order (n) = 4
Distance between screen and slit ( 1 ) = 1 meter
Distance between the fourth-order bright line and the center of the pattern $(y)=1 \mathrm{~mm}=$
$1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}=10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
Wanted : Wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) ??
The equation of the double slit interference :

$$
\int \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d} \sin \theta=\mathrm{n} \lambda \\
\sin \theta=\tan \theta=\frac{y}{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

The wavelength of the light $(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& d \sin \theta=n \lambda \\
& \lambda=d \sin \theta: n \\
& \lambda=d\left(\frac{y}{l}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \lambda=\left(2 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(\frac{10^{-3}}{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& \lambda=\left(2 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(10^{-3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& \lambda=\left(\frac{2}{4}\right)\left(10^{-3}\right)\left(10^{-3}\right) \\
& \lambda=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(10^{-6}\right) \\
& \lambda=0.5 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example -5: (Explanation)

Yellow light passes through two slits and an interference pattern is observed on a screen.
(1) The bright fringes will increase in width if the yellow light is replaced blue
(2) The bright fringes will increase in width if the distance between slits minimized
(3) The intensity of light decreases if it is far from the central fringe
(4) The intensity of light is constant if it is far from the central fringe which is the correct statement?

## Solution

The distance between slits is smaller than the distance between the slit and screen so that angle is very small. Then,

$$
\sin \theta=\tan \theta=\frac{y}{l}
$$

The equation of double-slit interference (constructive interference)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { مكتب الولاء } 2 \text { للطباعة والاستنساخ } d \sin \theta=n \\
& d .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
d \frac{y}{l}=n \lambda
$$

$d=$ distance between slits, $y=$ Distance between bright line and
the central fringe, $l=$ distance between screen and slit, $n=$ order, $\lambda=$ wavelength
(1) The bright fringes will increase in width if the yellow light is replaced blue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \frac{y}{l}=n \lambda \\
& d \frac{y}{1 \lambda}=n
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the above equation, the number of bright lines (n) is inversely proportional to the wavelength ( $\lambda$ ). If the wavelength decreases, the number of bright lines ( n ) increases.
Yellow light has a larger wavelength (smaller frequency) than blue light. If the yellow
light is changed blue, the wavelength decreases, so the number of bright lines ( n ) increases.

## This statement is correct.

(2) The bright fringes will increase in width if the distance between slits minimized
Based on the above formula, the distance between slits (d) is directly proportional to the number of bright lines (n). If the distance between the slits is minimized, the number of bright lines ( n ) decreases.

## This statement is incorrect.

(3) The intensity of light decreases if it is far from the central fringe
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Intensity relates to light level. Intensity is inversely proportional to the distance if the distance the greater the intensity the smaller (the light dimmer).

## This statement is correct.

## (4) The intensity of light is constant if it is far from the central fringe

This statement is incorrect.

## Example -6: (Explanation)

Two slits 3 mm apart, 1 meter from the screen. If produced the sixth-order bright line $1-\mathrm{mm}$ from the center of the pattern, what is the wavelength of the light used?
Given: Distance between slits $(\mathrm{d})=3 \mathrm{~mm}=3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{n})=6$
Distance between screen and slit ( 1 ) = 1 meter
Distance between the sixth-order bright line and the center of the pattern $(\mathrm{y})=1 \mathrm{~mm}=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}=10^{-3}$ meter Wanted: The wavelength of the light ( $\lambda$ ) ???

## Solution :
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## Example -7: (Explanation)

Suppose in the double-slit arrangement, $\mathrm{d}=0.150 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}$
$=120 \mathrm{~cm}, \lambda=833 \mathrm{~nm}$, and
$\mathrm{y}=2.00 \mathrm{~cm}$
(a) What is the path difference $\delta$ for the rays from the two slits arriving at point P ?
(b) Express this path difference in terms of $\lambda$.
(c) Does point P correspond to a maximum, a minimum, or an intermediate condition?

## Solution:

(a) The path difference is given by $\delta=d \sin \theta$. When $\mathrm{L} \gg \mathrm{y}, \theta$ is small and we can make the approximation $\sin \theta \approx \tan \theta=\mathrm{y} /$ L. Thus,

$$
\delta \approx d\left(\frac{y}{L}\right)=\left(1.50 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}\right) \frac{2.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}}{1.20 \mathrm{~m}}=2.50 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}
$$

(b) From the answer in part (a), we have

$$
\frac{\delta}{\lambda}=\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}}{8.33 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}} \approx 3.00
$$

or $\delta=3.00 \lambda$.
(c) Since the path difference is an integer multiple of the wavelength, the intensity at point P is a maximum.

## Example -8: (Explanation)

A viewing screen is separated from a double-slit source by 1.2 m . The distance between the two slits is 0.030 mm . The secondorder bright fringe $(\mathrm{m}=2)$ is 4.5 cm from the
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center line.
(A) Determine the wavelength of the light.
(B) Calculate the distance between adjacent bright fringes.

## Solution

Given: $\mathrm{m}=2$, ybright $=4.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~L}=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$, and $\mathrm{d}=3.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ :
(A)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda=\frac{y_{\text {bright }} d}{m L} & =\frac{\left(4.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}\right)\left(3.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}\right)}{2(1.2 \mathrm{~m})} \\
& =5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=560 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Which is in the green range of visible light.

(B)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{m+1}-y_{m} & =\frac{\lambda L}{d}(m+1)-\frac{\lambda L}{d} m \\
& =\frac{\lambda L}{d}=\frac{\left(5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}\right)(1.2 \mathrm{~m})}{3.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}} \\
& =2.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}=2.2 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example - 9: (Explanation)

Laser light illuminates two narrow parallel slits and the interference pattern produced is observed on a wall 4.00 m away. The distance between the two slits is 0.500 mm and the angular position of the fourth maximum is $0.30^{\circ}$. Find the wavelength $\lambda$ of the light.
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### 13.4 INTENSITY DISTRIBUTION, IN THE FRINGE SYSTEM

To find the intensity on the screen at points between the maxima, which illustrated in Fig. 13G. For the maxima, the angle $\delta$ is zero, and the component amplitudes $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are parallel, so that if they are equal, the resultant $A=2 a$. For the minima, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are in opposite directions, and $A=0$. In general, for any value of $\delta, \mathrm{A}$ is the closing side of the triangle. The value of $\mathrm{A}^{2}$, which measures the intensity, is then given by Eq. (13b) and varies according to $\cos ^{2}(\delta / 2)$. In Fig. I3R the solid curve represents a plot of the intensity against the phase difference.

In concluding our discussion of these fringes, one question of fundamental importance should be considered. If the two beams of light arrive at a point on the screen exactly out of phase, they interfere destructively and the resultant intensity is zero. One may well ask what becomes of the energy of the two beams, since the law of conservation of energy tells us that energy cannot be destroyed. The answer to this question is that the energy, which apparently disappears at the minima, actually is still present at the maxima, where the intensity is greater than would be produced by the two beams acting separately. In other words, the energy is not destroyed but merely redistributed in the interference pattern. The average intensity on the screen is exactly that which would exist in the absence of interference. Thus, as shown in Fig. 13H, the intensity in the interference pattern varies between $4 a^{2}$ and zero. Now each beam acting separately would contribute $a^{2}$, and so without interference we would have a uniform intensity of $2 a^{2}$, as indicated by the broken line. To obtain the average intensity on the screen for $n$ fringes, we note that the average value of the square of the cosine is $1 / 2$. This gives, by Eq. (13b), I $\sim 2 a^{2}$, justifying the
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statement made above, and it shows that no violation of the law of conservation of energy is involved in the interference phenomenon.


FIGURE 13 H : Intensity distribution for the interference fringes from two waves of the same frequency.

### 13.5 FRESNEL'S BIPRISM

Soon after the double-slit experiment was performed by Young, the objection was raised that the bright fringes he observed were probably due to some complicated modification of the light by the edges of the slits and not to true interference. Thus, the wave theory of light was still questioned. Not many years passed, however, before Fresnel brought forward several new experiments in which the interference of two beams of light was proved in a manner not open to the above objection. One of these, the Fresnel biprism experiment, will be described in some detail.

A schematic diagram of the biprism experiment is shown in Fig. 13I. The thin double prism P refracts the light from the slit sources $S$ into two overlapping beams ae and be. If screens M and N are placed as shown in the figure, interference fringes are observed only in the region be. When the screen ae is replaced by a photographic plate, a picture like the upper one in Fig. 13J is obtained. The closely spaced fringes in the center of the photograph are due to interference, while the wide fringes at the edge of the pattern are due to diffraction. These wider
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bands are produced by the vertices of the two prisms, each of which acts as a straightedge, giving a pattern. When the screens M and N are removed from the light path, the two beams will overlap over the whole region ae. The lower photograph in Fig. 13J shows for this case the equally spaced interference fringes superimposed on the diffraction pattern of a wide aperture. With such an experiment Fresnel was able to produce interference without relying upon diffraction to bring the interfering beams together.


FIGURE 13I: Diagram of Fresnel's biprism experiment.

Just as in Young's double-slit experiment, the wavelength of light can be determined from measurements of the interference fringes produced by the biprism. Calling B and C the distances of the source and screen, respectively, from the prism $P$, $d$ the distance between the virtual images $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ the distance between the successive fringes on the screen, the wavelength of the light is given from Eq. (13d) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\Delta x d}{B+C} \tag{13f}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the virtual images $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ act like the two slit sources in Young's experiment. In order to find d, the linear separation of the virtual sources, one can measure their
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angular separation $\theta$ on a spectrometer and assume, to sufficient accuracy, that $\boldsymbol{d}=\boldsymbol{B} \theta$. If the parallel light from the collimator covers both halves of the biprism, two images of the slit are produced and the angle $\theta$ between these is easily measured with the telescope. An even simpler measurement of this angle can be made by holding the prism close to one eye and viewing a round frosted light bulb. At a certain distance from the light the two images can be brought to the point where their inner edges just touch. The diameter of the bulb divided by the distance from the bulb to the prism then gives $\theta$ directly.

Fresnel biprisms are easily made from a small piece of glass, such as half a microscope slide, by beveling about $1 / 8$ to 1/4 in. on one side. This requires very little grinding with ordinary abrasive materials and polishing with rouge, since the angle required is only about $1^{0}$.


FIGURE 13J; Interference and diffraction fringes produced in the Fresnel biprism experiment.

## Explanation

## Fresnel's bi-prism

A Fresnel Biprism is a variation on the Young's Slits experiment. The Fresnel biprism consists of two thin prisms
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joint at their bases to form an isosceles triangle. A single wavefront impinges on both prisms; the left portion of the wavefront is refracted right while the right segment is refracted left. In the region of superposition, interference occurs as here two virtual sources exist.

An alternative method to the classic Young's slits experiment for measuring the wavelength of light is that due to Fresnel. The apparatus is shown in the following diagram (Figure 1).

Monochromatic light from a narrow slit $S$ falls on the biprism, the axis of which must be in line with the slit. The refracting angles of the bi- prism are very small, usually about $0.25^{\circ}$. This prism forms two virtual images of the slit $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in the plane of $S$, and these two virtual images act as the sources for two sets of waves which overlap and produce an interference pattern on the screen.


The fringes are much brighter than those produced by Young's slits, because of the very much greater amount of light that can pass through the prism compared with that passing through the double slit arrangement.

The formula used is the same as for Young's slits, the only problem being the measurement of the separation of the two virtual sources $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. This can be done by placing a convex lens between the bi-prism and the screen or eyepiece and measuring the separation (s) of the images of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ produced by the lens. If the object and image distances (u and v) are found, the value of $d$ can be calculated from

$$
\begin{gathered}
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d / v
\end{gathered}
$$

Using a two position method removes the need to measure u and $v_{0}$ If $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are the separations of the two image slits in the two positions then:

$$
d=\left[s_{1} s_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
\text { Wavelength of light }=x d / D=x\left[s_{1} s_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} / D
$$

where $x$ is the fringe width.

## Example-10 (Explanation):

In a Fresnel's bi-prism experiment, the refracting angles of the prism were $1.5^{\circ}$ and the refractive index of the glass was 1.5 . With the single slit 5 cm from the bi-prism and using light of wavelength 580 nm , fringes were formed on a screen 1 m from the single slit. Calculate the fringe width.

## Solution:

For a thin prism:
Deviation $(\theta)=(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{A}=(1.5-1) \times[1.5 \times(\pi / 180]$ (in this case) Therefore: $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}=[(5+0.75) \times(\pi / 180] \mathrm{cm}$

However, $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}=2 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}=7.5 \times(\pi / 180)=0.131 \mathrm{~cm}$ therefore the

Fringe width is given by:
$\mathrm{x}=\lambda \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}=\left[580 \times 10^{-7} \times 100\right] / 0.131=0.044 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Another Solution (Explanation):

In a Fresnel's biprism experiment, the refracting angles of the prism were 1.5 and the refracting index of the glass was 1.5 .
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With the single slit 5 cm from the biprism, and using light of wavelength 580 nm , fringes were formed on a screen 1 m from the single slit. Find the fringe width. Answer 0.044 cm


Separation between the coherent sources $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{2 a}(\mu-1) \boldsymbol{A}$ where $\mathrm{a}=$ distance between the single slit and the biprism, A is the prism angle and is the refractive index of biprism.

## Example - 11: (Explanation):

In Fresnel's biprism experiment, the distance between the two images of the sources is 0.6 mm and the distance between the source and the screen is 1.5 m . Given that the overall separation between 20 fringes on the screen is 3 cm , calculate the wavelength of light used.
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## Example - 12: (Explanation):

The distance between two consecutive bright bands in a biprism experiment is 0.32 mm when the red light of wavelength 6400 $\AA$ is used. By how much will this distance change if the light is substituted by the blue light of wavelength $4800 \AA$ with the same setting?

Ans: The distance between two consecutive bright bands will change by 0.08 mm

Example -13: (Explanation):
Calculate the fringe width in the pattern produced in a biprism experiment given that the wavelength of light employed is 6000 $\AA$, distance between sources is 1.2 mm and distance between the source and the screen is 100 cm . what will be the change in
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fringe width if the entire apparatus is immersed in water for which refractive index is $4 / 3$.

## Solution

Part - I:
Given: Distance between sorces $=\mathrm{d}=1.2 \mathrm{~mm}=1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$, Distance between sources and screen $=\mathrm{D}=100 \mathrm{~cm}=1 \mathrm{~m}$, Wavelength of light $=\lambda=6000 \AA=600010^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}$
To Find: Fringe width $=\mathbf{x}=$ ?
$\mathrm{x}=\lambda \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}=\left(6 \times 10^{-7} \times 1\right) /\left(1.2 \times 10^{-3}\right)=5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Part - II:

Given: Fringe width in air $=\mathrm{x}_{1}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, wavelength $=\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}=$ $6000 \AA$. For the second medium refractive index $=\boldsymbol{\mu}=4 / 3$
To Find: Change in fringe width $=\Delta x=$ ?
$\boldsymbol{\mu}=4 / 3=\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}$
The fringe width is given by $X=\lambda D / d$
For first medium $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\lambda_{1} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}$
For second medium, $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\lambda_{2} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}$
Dividing Equation (1) by (2)
$\mathrm{x}_{1} / \mathrm{x}_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{d} / \lambda_{2} \mathrm{D}\right)$
$\therefore \mathrm{x}_{1} / \mathrm{x}_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}\right)=4 / 3$
$\therefore \mathrm{x}_{2}=(3 / 4) \times 1=(3 / 4) \times 0.5=0.375 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\therefore \Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{r}}=0.5-0.375=0.125 \mathrm{~mm}$
Ans: The initial fringe width is 0.5 mm and the fringe width changes by 0.125 mm

## Example- 14: (Explanation):

In a biprism experiment, a source of light having wavelength $6500 \AA$ is replaced by a source of light of wavelength $5500 \AA$. Find the change in fringe width if the screen is at a distance of 1 m from the two sources which are 1 mm apart.
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Ans: The fringe width changes by 0.1 mm

## Example -15: (Explanation):

In a biprism experiment, the width of a fringe is 0.75 mm when the eye-piece is at a distance of one meter from the slits. The eye-piece is now moved away from the slits by 50 cm . Find the fringe width.

## Solution:
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Ans: New fringe width is 1.125 mm

## Example -16: (Explanation):

In a biprism experiment, the width of a fringe is 0.12 mm when the eye-piece is at a distance of 100 cm from the slits. The eyepiece is now moved towards the slit by 25 cm . Find the fringe width.

## Solution:

Ans: New fringe width is 0.09 mm

## 13.6: OTHER APPARATUS DEPENDING ON DIVISION OF THE WAVE FRONT

Two beams can be brought together in other ways to produce interference. In the arrangement known as Fresnel's mirrors, light from a slit is reflected in two plane mirrors
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slightly inclined to each other. The mirrors produce two virtual images of the slit, as shown in Fig. 13K. They act in every respect like the images formed by the biprism, and interference fringes are observed in the region $b e$, where the reflected beams overlap. The symbols in this diagram correspond to those in Fig. 13I, and Eq. (13f) is again applicable. It will be noted that the angle $2 \theta$ subtended at the point of intersection $M$ by the two sources is twice the angle between the mirrors. The Fresnel double-mirror experiment is usually performed on an optical bench, with the light reflected from the mirrors at nearly grazing angles. Two pieces of ordinary plate glass about 2 in . square make a very good double mirror. One plate should have an adjusting screw for changing the angle $\theta$ and the other a screw for making the edges of the two mirrors parallel.


FIGURE 13K: Geometry of Fresnel's mirrors.

To the wavelength $\lambda$ of the He-Ne laser light in this experiment can be determine by the same way obtained from young's double slit experiment

$$
\frac{x d}{D}=0, \lambda, 2 \lambda, 3 \lambda, \ldots=m \lambda
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=m \lambda \frac{D}{d} \quad \text { Bright fringes } \tag{13d}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The minimum value of the intensity is zero, and this occurs when $\delta=\pi, 3 \pi, 5 \pi, \ldots$. For these points

$$
\frac{x d}{D}=\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{3 \lambda}{2}, \frac{5 \lambda}{2}, \ldots=\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda \cdot \frac{D}{d} \quad \text { Dark fringes } \tag{13e}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example-17: (Explanation)

A Fresnel double mirror is used with the source slit at 1 m from the mirror intersection $A$. When the screen is 4 m distant and $\lambda=500 \mathrm{~nm}$, the fringe width (separation) is 2 mm . Find the angle between the mirrors.

## Solution

$$
s \approx R+d ; \quad a \approx 2 R \alpha ; \quad \Delta y=\frac{s \lambda}{n a} ; \quad \alpha=\frac{(R+d) \lambda}{2 R n \Delta y}=6.25 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{rad}
$$

An even simpler device, shown in Fig. 13L, produces interference between the light reflected in one long mirror and the light coming directly from the source without reflection. In this arrangement, known as Lloyd's mirror, the quantitative relations are similar to those in the foregoing cases, with the slit and its virtual image constituting the double source. An important feature of the Lloyd's-mirror experiment lies in the fact that when the screen is placed in contact with the end of the mirror (in the position MN, Fig. 13L), the edge $O$ of the reflecting surface comes at the center of a dark fringe, instead of a bright one as might be expected. This means that one of the two beams has undergone a phase change of $\pi$. Since the direct beam could not change phase, this experimental observation is interpreted to mean that the reflected light has changed phase at reflection. Two photographs of the Lloyd's-
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mirror fringes taken in this way are reproduced in Fig. 13M, one taken with visible light and the other with $X$ rays.

If the light from source $S_{l}$ in Fig. $13 L$ is allowed to enter the end of the glass plate by moving the latter up, and to be internally reflected from the upper glass surface, fringes will again be observed in the interval OP , with a dark fringe at O. This shows that there is again a phase change of $\pi$ at reflection. In this instance the light is incident at an angle greater than the critical angle for total reflection.

Lloyd's mirror is readily set up for demonstration purposes as follows. A carbon arc, followed by a colored glass filter and a narrow slit, serves as a source. A strip of ordinary plate glass 1 to 2 in . wide and 1 ft or more long makes an excellent mirror. A magnifying glass focused on the far end of the mirror enables one to observe the fringes shown in Fig. 13 M . Internal fringes can be observed by polishing the ends of the mirror to allow the light to enter and leave the glass, and by roughening one of the glass faces with coarse emery.

The $n^{\text {th }}$ bright fringe for Lloyd's Mirror obeys the relationship:

$$
x_{n}=(n-1 / 2) \lambda\left(\frac{D}{d}\right)
$$

where: $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ the distance from the center of the pattern to the $n$th bright fringe,
$\lambda=$ the wavelength of the light,
$\mathrm{D}=$ distance to the screen, and
$d=$ separation between the two sources (slits in one case, source and reflected "source" in the other)

The separation between bright fringes ( $x$ ) is thus found by subtracting $x_{n}$ from $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ For both cases:

$$
x=\lambda\left(\frac{D}{d}\right)
$$
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\text { مكتب الولاء } 2 \text { للطباعة والاستنسـاخ }
$$

Thus if $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{D}$, and d are known it is a simple matter to determine $\lambda$.


FIGURE 13L: Lloyd's mirror.


FIGURE 13M: Interference fringes produced with Lloyd's mirror. (a) Taken with visible light, $\lambda=4358$ A. (After White.) (b) Taken with X rays, $\lambda$ $=8.33$ A. (After Kellstrom.)

Other ways exist for dividing the wave front into two segments and subsequently recombining these at a small angle with each other. For example, one can cut a lens into two halves on a plane through the lens axis and separate the parts slightly, to form two closely adjacent real images of a slit. The images produced in this device, known as Billet's split lens, act like the two slits in Young's experiment. A single lens followed by a biplate (two plane-parallel plates at a slight angle) will accomplish the same result.

### 13.7 COHERENT SOURCES

It will be noticed that the various methods of demonstrating interference so far discussed have one
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important feature in common: the two interfering beams are always derived from the same source of light. We find by experiment that it is impossible to obtain interference fringes from two separate sources, such as two lamp filaments set side by side. This failure is caused by the fact that the light from anyone source is not an infinite train of waves. On the contrary, there are sudden changes in phase occurring in very short intervals of time (of the order of $10^{-8} \mathrm{~s}$ ). Thus, although interference fringes may exist on the screen for such a short interval, they will shift their position each time there is a phase change, with the result that no fringes at all will be seen, In Young's experiment and in Fresnel's mirrors and biprism, the two sources $S_{l}$ and $S_{2}$ always have a point-to-point correspondence of phase, since they are both derived from the same source. If the phase of the light from a point in $S_{l}$ suddenly shifts, that of the light from the corresponding point in $S_{2}$ will shift simultaneously. The result is that the difference in phase between any pair of points in the two sources always remains constant, and so the interference fringes are stationary. It is a characteristic of any interference experiment with light that the sourceśs must have this point-to-point phase relation, and sources that have this relation are called coherent sources.

While special arrangements are necessary for producing coherent sources of light, the same is not true of microwaves, which are radio waves of a few centimeters wavelength. These are produced by an oscillator which emits a continuous wave, the phase of which remains constant over a time long compared with the duration of an observation. Two independent microwave sources of the same frequency are therefore coherent and can be used to demonstrate interference. Because of the convenient magnitude of their wavelength, microwaves are used to illustrate many common optical interference and diffraction effects.

If in Young's experiment the source slit S (Fig. 13C) is made too wide or the angle between the rays which leave it too large, the double slit no longer represents two coherent sources and the interference fringes disappear.

### 13.8 DIVISION OF AMPLITUDE. MICHELSON INTERFEROMETER

Interference apparatus may be conveniently divided into two main classes, those based on division of wave front and those based on division of amplitude. The previous examples all belong to the former class, in which the wave front is divided laterally into segments by mirrors or diaphragms. It is also possible to divide a wave by partial reflection, the two resulting wave fronts maintaining the original width but having reduced amplitudes. The Michelson interferometer is an important example of this second class. Here the two beams obtained by amplitude division are sent in quite different directions against plane mirrors, whence they are brought together again to form interference fringes. The arrangement is shown schematically in Fig. 13N. The main optical parts consist of two highly polished plane mirrors $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and two planeparallel plates of glass Gland $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Sometimes the rear side of the plate $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is lightly silvered (shown by the heavy line in the figure) so that the light coming from the source S is divided into (1) a reflected and (2) a transmitted beam of equal intensity. The light reflected normally from mirror $M_{1}$ passes through $G_{1}$ a third time and reaches the eye as shown. The light reflected from the mirror $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ passes back through $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ for the second time, is reflected from the surface of G1 and into the eye. The purpose of the plate $G_{2}$, called the compensating plate, is to render the path in glass of the two rays equal. This is not essential for producing fringes in monochromatic light, but it is indispensable when white light is used (Sec. 13.11). The mirror $M_{1}$ is mounted on a carriage $C$ and can be moved along the well-machined ways or tracks T . This slow and accurately controlled motion is accomplished by means of the screw V , which is calibrated to show the exact distance the mirror has been moved. To obtain fringes, the mirrors $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are made exactly perpendicular to each other by means of screws shown on mirror $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.
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Even when the above adjustments have been made, fringes will not be seen unless two important requirements are fulfilled. First, the light must originate from an extended source. A point source or a slit source, as used in the methods previously described, will not produce the desired system of fringes in this case. The reason for this will appear when we consider the origin of the fringes. Second, the light must in general be monochromatic, or nearly so. Especially is this true if the distances of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ are appreciably different.

An extended source suitable for use with a Michelson interferometer may be obtained in anyone of several ways. A sodium flame or a mercury are, if large enough, may be used without the screen L shown in Fig. 13N. If the source is small, a ground-glass screen or a lens at L will extend the field of view. Looking at the mirror $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ through the plate $\mathrm{Gl}^{\prime}$ one then sees the whole mirror filled with light. In order to obtain the fringes, the next step is to measure the distances of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ to the back surface of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ roughly with a millimeter scale and to move $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ until they are the same to within a few millimeters. The mirror $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is now adjusted to be perpendicular to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ by observing the images of a common pin, or any sharp point, placed between the source and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{l}}$. Two pairs of images will be seen, one coming from reflection at the front surface of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and the other from reflection at its back surface. When the tilting screws on $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are turned until one pair of images falls exactly on the other, the interference fringes should appear. When they first appear, the fringes will not be clear unless the eye is focused on or near the back mirror $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{l}}$, so the observer should look constantly at this mirror while searching for the fringes.

When they have been found, the adjusting screws should be turned in such a way as to continually increase the width of the fringes, and finally a set of concentric circular fringes will be obtained. $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is then exactly perpendicular to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ if the latter is at an angle of $45^{\circ}$ with Gl.
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FIGURE 13N: Diagram of the Michelson interferometer.


## Example-18: (Explanation):

A red laser light of wavelength 630 nm is used in a Michelson interferometer. While keeping the mirror $M_{1}$ fixed, mirror $M_{2}$ is moved. The fringes are found to move past a fixed cross-hair in the viewer. Find the distance the mirror m2 is moved for a single fringe to move past the reference line.

## Solution

For a $630-\mathrm{nm}$ red laser light, and for each fringe crossing ( $\mathrm{m}=1$ ), the distance traveled by $M_{2}$ if you keep $M_{1}$ fixed is

$$
\Delta d=m \frac{\lambda_{0}}{2}=1 \times \frac{630 \mathrm{~nm}}{2}=315 \mathrm{~nm}=0.315 \mu \mathrm{~m} .
$$

### 13.9 CIRCULAR FRINGES

These are produced with monochromatic light when the mirrors are in exact adjustment and are the ones used in most

## مكتب الولاء 2 للطباعة والاستنساخ

kinds of measurement with the interferometer. Their origin can be understood by reference to the diagram of Fig. 130. Here the real mirror $M_{2}$ has been replaced by its virtual image $M_{2}^{\prime}$ formed by reflection in $G_{1} . M_{2}^{\prime}$ is then parallel to $M_{1}$. Owing to the several reflections in the real interferometer, we may now think of the extended source as being at $L$, behind the observer, and as forming two virtual images $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ in $M_{1}$ and $M^{\prime}{ }_{2}$. These virtual sources are coherent in that the phases of corresponding points in the two are exactly the same at all instants. If $d$ is the separation $M_{1} M^{\prime}{ }_{2}$, the virtual sources will be separated by $2 d$. When $d$ is exactly an integral number of half wavelengths, i.e., the path difference $2 d$ equal to an integral number of whole wavelengths, all rays of light reflected normal to the mirrors will be in phase. Rays of light reflected at an angle, however, will in general not be in phase. The path difference between the two rays coming to the eye from corresponding points $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ is $2 d \cos \theta$, as shown in the figure. The angle $\theta$ is necessarily the same for the two rays when $M_{\perp}$ is parallel to $M^{\prime}$ so that the rays are parallel. Hence when the eye is focused to receive parallel rays (a small telescope is more satisfactory here, especially for large values of $d$ ) the rays will reinforce each other to produce maxima for those angles $e$ satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d \cos \theta=m \lambda \tag{13g}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for a given $m, \lambda$, and $d$ the angle $\theta$ is constant, the maxima will lie in the form of circles about the foot of the perpendicular from the eye to the mirrors. By expanding the cosine, it can be shown from Eq. (13g) that the radii of the rings are proportional to the square roots of integers, as in the case of Newton's rings (Sec. 14.5). The intensity distribution across the rings follows Eq. (13b), in which the phase difference is given by

$$
\delta=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} 2 d \cos \theta
$$
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Fringes of this kind, where parallel beams are brought to interference with a phase difference determined by the angle of inclination $e$, are often referred to as fringes of equal inclination. The eventual limitation on the path difference will be discussed in Sec. 13.12.


FIGURE 13O: Formation of circular fringes in the Michelson interferometer.
The upper part of Fig. 13P shows how the circular fringes look under different conditions. Starting with $M_{1}$ a few centimeters beyond $M_{2}$, the fringe system will have the general appearance shown in (a) with the rings very closely spaced. If $M_{1}$ is now moved slowly toward $M_{2}$ so that $d$ is decreased, Eq. $(13 \mathrm{~g})$ shows that a given ring, characterized by a given value of the order $m$, must decrease its radius because the product $2 d \cos$ $\theta$ must remain constant. The rings therefore shrink and vanish at the center, a ring disappearing each time $2 d$ decreases by $\lambda$, or $d$ by $\lambda / 2$. This follows from the fact that at the center $\cos \theta=1$, so that Eq. (13g) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d=m \lambda \tag{13h}
\end{equation*}
$$

To change $m$ by unity, $d$ must change by $\lambda / 2$. Now as $M_{1}$ approaches $M_{2}$ the rings become more widely spaced, as indicated in Fig. 13P(b), until finally we reach a critical position where the central fringe has spread out to cover the whole field of view, as shown in (c). This happens when $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are exactly coincident, for it is clear that under these conditions the path difference is zero for all angles of incidence. If the mirror

$$
\text { مكتب الولاء } 2 \text { للطباعة والاستتسـاخ }
$$

is moved still farther, it effectively passes through $M_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, and new widely spaced fringes appear, growing out from the center. These will gradually become more closely spaced as the path difference increases, as indicated in (d) and (e) of the figure.


FIGURE 13P: Appearance of the various types of fringes observed in the Michelson interferometer. Upper row, circular fringes. Lower row, localized fringes. Path difference increases outward, in both directions, from the center.

### 13.12 VISIBILITY OF THE FRINGES

There are three principal types of measurement that can be made with the interferometer: (1) width and fine structure of spectrum lines, (2) lengths or displacements in terms of wavelengths of light, and (3) refractive indices. As explained in the preceding section, when a certain spread of wavelengths is present in the light source, the fringes become indistinct and eventually disappear as the path difference is increased. With white light they become invisible when $d$ is only a few wavelengths, whereas the circular fringes obtained with the light of a single spectrum line can still be seen after the mirror has been moved several centimeters. Since no line is perfectly sharp, however, the different component wavelengths produce fringes of slightly different spacing, and hence there is a limit to the usable path difference even in this case. For the measurements of length to be described below, Michelson
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tested the lines from various sources and concluded that a certain red line in the spectrum of cadmium was the most satisfactory. He measured the visibility, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{I_{\max }-I_{\min }}{I_{\max }+I_{\min }} \tag{13i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\max }$ and $I_{\min }$ are the intensities at the maxima and minima of the fringe pattern. The more slowly $V$ decreases with increasing path difference, the sharper the line. With the red cadmium line, it dropped to 0.5 at a path difference of some 10 cm , or at $d=5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
With certain lines, the visibility does not decrease uniformly but fluctuates with more or less regularity. This behavior indicates that the line has a fine structure, consisting of two or more lines very close together. Thus it is found that with sodium light the fringes become alternately sharp and diffuse, as the fringes from the two $D$ lines get in and out of step. The number of fringes between two successive positions of maximum visibility is about 1000 , indicating that the wavelengths of the components differ by approximately 1 part in 1000 . In more complicated cases, the separation and intensities of the components could be determined by a Fourier analysis of the visibility curves. Since this method of inferring the structure of lines has now been superseded by more direct methods, to be described in the following chapter, it will not be discussed in any detail here.

An alternative way of interpreting the eventual vanishing of interference at large path differences is instructive to consider at this point. A finite spread of wavelengths corresponds to wave packets of limited length, this length decreasing as the spread becomes greater. Thus, when the two beams in the interferometer traverse distances that differ by more than the length of the individual packets, these can no longer overlap and no interference is possible. The situation upon complete disappearance of the fringes is shown schematically in Fig. 13S. The original wave packet $P$ has its amplitude divided at $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ so that two similar packets are produced, $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ traveling to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ to $M_{2} \cdot$ When the beams are reunited, $P_{2}$ lags a distance $2 d$ behind Pt. Evidently a measurement of this limiting path
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difference gives a direct determination of the length of the wave packets.

This interpretation of the cessation of interference seems at first sight to conflict with the one given above. A consideration of the principle of Fourier analysis shows, however, that mathematically the two are entirely equivalent and are merely alternative ways of representing the same phenomenon.

FIGURE 13S: Limiting path difference As determined by the length of wave packets.


## Explanation

Visibility is a measure of the quality of the fringes (i.e. fringe contrast) produced by an interferometric system. The higher $\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow$ the more visible the fringes are. A change in the irradiance $\longrightarrow$ a change in the visibility

Example-19: (Explanation):
At which case will the visibility be 1 ? And what does a visibility of 1 mean?

## مكتب الولاء 2 للطباعة والاستنساخ

## Solution

If the amplitudes of the two waves were equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\max }=4 I \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\min }=0 \\
& V=\frac{4 I-0}{4 I+0}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

A visibility of 1 means that the fringes are most visible (i.e. this is the highest possible contrast).

### 13.13 INTERFEROMETRIC MEASUREMENTS OF LENGTH

## 1) Determination of wavelength of monochromatic light

The principal advantage of Michelson's form of interferometer over the earlier methods of producing interference lies in the fact that the two beams are here widely separated and the path difference can be varied at will by moving the mirror or by introducing a refracting material in one of the beams. Corresponding to these two ways of changing the optical path, there are two other important applications of the interferometer. Accurate measurements of distance in terms of the wavelength of light will be discussed in this section, while interferometric determinations of refractive indices are described in Sec. 13.15.

When the mirror $M_{l}$ of (Fig. 13N) is moved slowly from one position to another, counting the number of fringes in monochromatic light which cross the center of the field of view will give a measure of the distance the mirror has moved in terms of $\lambda$, since by Eq. (13h) we have, for the position dl corresponding to the bright fringe of order $\mathrm{m}_{1}$, and for $\mathrm{d}_{2}$, given a bright fringe of order $\mathrm{m}_{2}$,

$$
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& \text { مكا }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2 d_{2}=m_{2} \lambda
$$

Subtracting these two equations, we find

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{1}-d_{2}=\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \frac{\lambda}{2}  \tag{13j}\\
\Delta x=x_{2-} x_{1}=N \cdot \frac{\lambda}{2} \\
\lambda=\frac{2\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)}{N}=\frac{2 x}{N}
\end{array}
$$

Where N is number (no.) of fringes
$\Delta x=x_{2}-x_{1}$ : no. of fringes counting the center of the field of view. (Conversely, if x is increased, the fringe pattern will expand.)

Hence the distance moved equals the number of fringes counted, multiplied by a half wavelength. Of course, the distance measured need not correspond to an integral number of half wavelengths. Fractional parts of a whole fringe displacement can easily be estimated to one-tenth of a fringe, and, with care, to one-fiftieth. The latter figure then gives the distance to an accuracy of one-hundredth wavelength, or $5 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~cm}$ for green light.

A small Michelson interferometer in which a microscope is attached to the moving carriage carrying $M_{1}$ is frequently used in the laboratory for measuring the wavelength of light. The microscope is focused on a fine glass scale, and the number of fringes, $m_{1}-m_{2}$, crossing the mirror between two readings $\mathrm{d}_{l}$ and $d_{2}$ on the scale gives $\lambda$, by Eq. (13j). The bending of a beam, or even of a brick wall, under pressure from the hand can be made visible and measured by attaching $M_{1}$ directly to the beam or wall.

The most important measurement made with the interferometer was the comparison of the standard meter in Paris with the wavelengths of intense red, green, and blue lines of cadmium by Michelson and Benoit. It would be impossible
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to count directly the number of fringes for a displacement of the movable mirror from one end of the standard meter to the other.

Instead, nine intermediate standards (etalons) were used, of the form shown in Fig. 13T, each approximately twice the length of the other. The two shortest etalons were first mounted in an interferometer of special design (Fig. 13U), with a field of view covering the four mirrors, $\mathrm{M}_{1}, M_{2}, M_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and $M_{2}{ }^{\prime}$. With the aid of the white light fringes the distances of $M, M_{1}$, and $M_{1^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ from the eye were made equal, as shown in the figure. Substituting the light of one of the cadmium lines for white light, $M$ was then moved slowly from $A$ to $D$, counting the number of fringes passing the cross hair. The count was continued until $M$ reached the position $D$, which was exactly coplanar with $M_{2}$, as judged by the appearance of the white-light fringes in the upper mirror of the shorter etalon. The fraction of a cadmium fringe in excess of an integral number required to reach this position was determined, giving the distance $M_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ in terms of wavelengths. The shorter etalon was then moved through its own length, without counting fringes, until the white-light fringes reappeared in $M_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ Finally $M$ was moved to C, when the white-light fringes appeared in $M_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ as well as in $M_{2}$. The additional displacement necessary to make $M$ coplanar with $M_{2}$ was measured in terms of cadmium fringes, thus giving the exact number of wavelengths in the longer etalon. This was in turn compared with the length of a third etalon of approximately twice the length of the second, by the same process.

FIGURE 13 T
One of the nine etalons used by Michelson in accurately comparing the wavelength of light with the standard meter.


The length of the largest etalon was about 10.0 cm . This was finally compared with the prototype meter by alternately centering the white-light fringes in its upper and lower mirrors,
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each time the etalon was moved through its own length. Ten such steps brought a marker on the side of the etalon nearly into coincidence with the second fiducial mark on the meter, and the slight difference was evaluated by counting cadmium fringes. The 10 steps involve an accumulated error, which does not enter in the intercomparison of the etalons, but nevertheless this was smaller than the uncertainty in setting on the end marks.

The final results were, for the three cadmium lines:

| Red line | $1 \mathrm{~m}=1,553,163.5 \lambda$ | or | $\lambda=6438.4722 \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green line | $1 \mathrm{~m}=1,966,249.7 \lambda$ | or | $\lambda=5085.8240 \AA$ |
| Blue line | $1 \mathrm{~m}=2,083,372.1 \lambda$ | or | $\lambda=4799.9107 \AA$ |

Not only has the standard meter been determined in terms of what we now believe to be an invariable unit, the wavelength of light, but we hâve also mobtained absolute determinations of the wavelength of three spectrum lines, the red line of which is at present the primary standard in spectroscopy. It now is internationally agreed that in dry atmospheric air at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a pressure of 760 mmHg the orange line of krypton has a wavelength

$$
\lambda_{0}=6057.80211 \AA
$$

This is the wavelength the General Conference on Weights and Measures in Paris used in adopting on Oct. 14, 1960, as the international legal standard of length, the following definition of the standard meter:

1 meter $=1,650,763.73$ wavelengths
(orange light of krypton)
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FIGURE 13U: Special Michelson interferometer used in accurately comparing the wavelength of light with the standard meter.

## (Explanation):

## 2) Determination of different in wavelength between two neighbor lines or two waves

Let the source of light emit close wavelength $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, condition $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$, the apparatus is adjusted to form circular rings. the arrangement of the position of mirror $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is moved and reached when a bright fringes of one set falls on the bright fringe of the other an fringes are again distinct.
So the small difference $\Delta \lambda$ is given by:

$$
\Delta \lambda=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 x}
$$

## 3) Thickness of a thin transparent sheet

## 4) Determination of the refractive index of gases

The path difference introduce between the two interfering beam is $2(n-1) L$
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where n :Refractive index of gas
L : length of the tube. If m fringes cross the center of the field of view thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{m} \lambda \\
& n=\frac{m \lambda}{2 L}+1
\end{aligned}
$$



Limiting path difference as determined by the length of wave packets.

## Example- 20: (Explanation):

Assume your laser has a wavelength of 560 nm , and your mirrors start at equal distances from the beam splitter. You shift your movable mirror by 1587.6 mm . (a) What's the path difference between your two mirrors now?
(b) Is the center of the image on your detector dark, or bright?

## Solution:

Convert mm to $\mathrm{nm}: 1.5876 \mathrm{~mm}=1587.6 \mathrm{~nm}$
> The light beam must travel to the movable mirror and back, so multiply the distance that the mirror shifted by $2: 1587.6 \mathrm{~nm} \times 2$ $=3175.2 \mathrm{~nm}$
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## > The path difference is 3175.200 nm

> Is this number an odd integer multiple of ( $1 / 2 \lambda$ ) (in which case it would cause destructive interference and result in darkness in the center), or an integer multiple of $\lambda$ (which would cause constructive interference and a result in a bright center)?
$3175.2 \mathrm{~nm} / 560 \mathrm{~nm}=5.670$
> 5.670 is an integer, so it's constructive interference resulting in a bright center on the image at the detector.

## Example- 21: (Explanation):

You shine the laser into the interferometer and then move one of the mirrors until you have counted 100.0 fringes passing the crosshairs of the telescope. The extremely accurate micrometer shows that you have moved the mirror by 0.03164 millimeters. What is the wavelength $\lambda$ of the laser?

## Solution:
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## Example- 22: (Explanation):

You now immerse the interferometer in a tank filled with some unknown liquid and carefully align the laser into the interferometer. You move the mirror until you count 100.0 fringes passing the crosshairs of the telescope. The micrometer indicates that the mirror has moved 0.02381 millimeters. What is the mystery fluid?
water ( $\mathrm{n}=1.333$ )
methanol ( $\mathrm{n}=1.329$ )
ethanol ( $\mathrm{n}=1.362$ )
acetone ( $\mathrm{n}=1.357$ )
isopropyl alcohol $(\mathrm{n}=1.375)$
saline $(\mathrm{n}=1.378)$

## Solution:
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If a Michelson interferometer is illuminated with strictly parallel monochromatic light, produced by a point source at the principal focus of a well-corrected lens, it becomes a very powerful instrument for testing the perfection of optical parts such as prisms and lenses. The piece to be tested is placed in one of the light beams, and the mirror behind it is so chosen that the reflected waves, after traversing the test piece a second time, again become plane. These waves are then brought to interference with the plane waves from the other arm of the interferometer by another lens, at the focus of which the eye is placed. If the prism or lens is optically perfect, so that the returning waves are strictly plane, the field will appear uniformly illuminated. Any local variation of the optical path will, however, produce fringes in the corresponding part of the field, which are essentially the contour lines of the distorted wave front. Even though the surfaces of the test piece may be accurately made, the glass may contain regions that are slightly more or less dense. With the Twyman and Green interferometer these can be detected and corrected for by local polishing of the surface.

## Example- 23: (Explanation):

You shine the laser into the interferometer and then move one of the mirrors until you have counted 100 fringes passing the crosshairs of the telescope. The extremely accurate micrometer shows that you have moved the mirror by 0.03164 millimeters. What is the wavelength $\lambda$ of the laser?

## Solution:

The beam has to travel from the splitter to the mirror and back. Since there are 100 spots, the total distance traveled is 100 wavelengths long, so the mirror has moved 100/2 (50) wavelengths. In other words, the following formula applies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{n} \lambda \\
& 2 \times 0.03164 \mathrm{~mm}=100 \times \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.06328 \mathrm{~mm}=100 \times \lambda \\
& 0.0006328 \mathrm{~mm}=\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Convert to nanometers and you get the answer: 632.8 nm

### 13.15 INDEX OF REFRACTION BY INTERFERENCE METHODS

If a thickness $t$ of a substance having an index of refraction $n$ is introduced into the path of one of the interfering beams in the interferometer, the optical path in this beam is increased because of the fact that light travels more slowly in the substance and consequently has a shorter wavelength. The optical path [Eq. (1t)] is now nt through the medium, whereas it was practically $t$ through the corresponding thickness of air $(n=1)$. Thus the increase in optical path due to insertion of the substance is $(n-1)$. This will introduce $(n-1) t / \lambda$ extra waves in the path of one beam; so if we call $\Delta m$ the number of fringes by which the fringe system is displaced when the substance is placed in the beam, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1) t=(\Delta m) \lambda \tag{13k}
\end{equation*}
$$

In principle a measurement of $\Delta m$, $t$, and $\lambda$, thus gives a determination of $n$.

In practice, the insertion of a plate of glass in one of the beams produces a discontinuous shift of the fringes so that the number $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ cannot be counted. With monochromatic fringes it is impossible to tell which fringe in the displaced set corresponds to one in the original set. With white light, the displacement in the fringes of different colors is very different because of the variation of $n$ with wavelength, and the fringes disappear entirely. This illustrates the necessity of the compensating plate $G_{2}$ in Michelson's interferometer if whitelight fringes are to be observed. If the plate of glass is very thin, these fringes may still be visible, and this affords a method of measuring $n$ for very thin films. For thicker pieces, a practicable method is to use two plates of identical thickness, one in each
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beam, and to turn one gradually about a vertical axis, counting the number of monochromatic fringes for a given angle of rotation. This angle then corresponds to a certain known increase in effective thickness.

For the measurement of the index of refraction of gases, which can be introduced
gradually into the light path by allowing the gas to flow into an evacuated tube, the interference method is the most practicable one. Several forms of refractometers have been devised especially for this purpose, of which we shall describe three, the Jamin, the Mach-Zehnder, and the Rayleigh refractometers. Jamin's refractometer is shown schematically in Fig. 13V(a). Monochromatic light from a broad source $S$ is broken into two parallel beams 1 and 2 by reflection at the two parallel faces of a thick plate of glass $\mathrm{G}_{1}$. These two rays pass through to another identical plate of glass $G_{2}$ to recombine after reflection, forming interference fringes known as Brewster's fringes. If now the plates are parallel, the light paths will be identical. Suppose as an experiment we wish to measure the index of refraction of a certain gas at different temperatures and pressures.

Two similar evacuated tubes $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ of equal length are placed in the two parallel beams. Gas is slowly admitted to tube $T_{2}$. If the number of fringes $\Delta m$ crossing the field is counted while the gas reaches the desired pressure and temperature, the value of $n$ can be found by applying Eq. (13k). It is found experimentally that at a given temperature the value $n-1$ is directly proportional to the pressure. This is a special case of the Lorenz-Lorentz law, according to which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{2}-1}{n^{2}+2}=(n-1) \frac{n+1}{n^{2}+2}=\text { const } \times \rho \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\rho$ is the density of the gas. When $n$ is very nearly unity, the factor $(n+1) /\left(n^{2}+2\right)$ is nearly constant, as required by the above experimental observation.


FIGURE 13V: (a) The Jamin and (b) the Mach-Zehnder interferometer.
The interferometer devised by Mach and Zehnder, and shown in Fig. $13 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{~b})$, has a similar arrangement of light paths, but they may be much farther apart. The role of the two glass blocks in the Jamin instrument is here taken by two pairs of mirrors, the pair $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ functioning like $G_{1}$, and the pair $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ like $G z$.
The first surface of $M_{I}$ and the second surface of $M_{4}$ are halfsilvered. Although it is more difficult to adjust, the MachZehnder interferometer is suitable only for studying slight changes of refractive index over a considerable area and is used, for example. Contrary to the situation in the Michelson interferometer, the light traverses a region such as $T$ in the figure in only one direction, a fact which simplifies the study of local changes of optical path in that region.

The purpose of the compensating plates $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in Figs. 13 V (a) and 13 W is to speed up the measurement of refractive index. As the two plates, of equal thickness, are rotated together by the single knob attached to the dial $D$, one light path is shortened and the other lengthened. The device can therefore compensate for the path difference in the two tubes. The dial, if previously calibrated by counting fringes, can be made to read the index of refraction directly. The sensitivity of this device can be varied at will, a high sensitivity being obtained when the angle between the two plates is small and a low sensitivity when the angle is large.
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In Rayleigh's refractometer (Fig. 13W) monochromatic light from a linear source $S$ is made parallel by a lens $L_{1}$ and split into two beams by a fairly wide double slit. After passing through two exactly similar tubes and the compensating plates, these are brought to interfere by the lens $L_{2}$. This form of refractometer is often used to measure slight differences in refractive index of liquids and solutions.


FIGURE 13W: Rayleigh's refractometer.

## PROBLEMS

13.1- Young's experiment is performed with orange light from a krypton arc. If the fringes are measured with a micrometer eyepiece at a distance 100 cm from the double slit, it is found that 25 of them occupy a distance of 12.87 mm between centers. Find the distance between the centers of the two slits. Ans. 1.1297 mm
13.2- A double slit with a separation of 0.250 mm between centers is illuminated with green light from a cadmium-arc lamp. How far behind the slits must one go to measure the fringe separation and find it to be 0.80 mm between centers?
13.5- A Fresnel biprism is to be constructed for use on an optical bench with the slit and the observing screen 180.0 cm apart. The biprism is to be 60.0 cm from the slit. Find the angle between the two refracting surfaces of the biprism if the glass has a refractive index $n=1.520$, sodium yellow light is to be used, and the fringes are to be 1.0 mm apart.
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13.6- A Fresnel biprism of index 1.7320 and with apex angles of $0.850^{\circ}$ is used to form interference fringes. Find the fringe separation for red light of wavelength 6563 A when the distance between the slit and the prism is 25.0 cm and that between the prism and the screen is 75.0 cm .
13.8- How far must the movable mirror of a Michelson interferometer be displaced for 2500 fringes of the red cadmium line $(6438 \AA)$ to cross the center of the field of view?
13.9- If the mirror of a Michelson interferometer is moved 1.0 mm , how many fringes of the blue cadmium line ( $4799.92 \AA$ ) will be counted crossing the field of view?

