

Foundations of Mathematics

Instructor:

Uday Jabbar Quaez and Ruqaya Ibrahim

Academic Year: 2024-2025

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University

Lecture 11.: Introduction to Partially and Totally Ordered Relations

Introduction

In mathematics, the concept of order plays a fundamental role in understanding the structure and organization of elements within a set. Two important types of order that arise frequently are partially ordered relations and totally ordered relations. Among the different types of order, partially ordered relations provide a versatile framework for analyzing situations where not all elements are directly comparable. In this lecture, we will explore the formal definition of partially ordered relations, examine examples and counterexamples, and discuss their significance in mathematical structures such as posets (partially ordered sets). By the end, you will gain a deeper understanding of how partial orders are used to represent and analyze hierarchical relationships in various mathematical and real-world contexts.

Partially Ordered Relation:

A relation \mathcal{R} on a set A is called a Partially Ordered Relation (POR) if it satisfies the properties

- ❖ Reflexive
- ❖ Antisymmetric
- ❖ Transitive

The pair (\mathcal{R}, A) is called partially ordered set.

Examples: 3.18. let $A = \{1,2,3\}$. Are the following relations on a set A Partially Ordered Relation (POR)?

$R_1 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2), (3,3)\}$ is not anti symmetric , therefore the pair (R_1, A) is not (POR).

is not (POR).

$R_2 = \{(1,1)\}$ is not reflexive therefore is not (POR).

$R_3 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,2), (3,3)\}$ is Reflexive, Antisymmetric and Transitive this implies the pair (R_3, A) is not (POR).

(POR).

$R_4 = \emptyset$ is not reflexive therefore is not (POR).

$R_5 = \{(1,1), (1,3), (3,2), (2,2), (3,3)\}$ is not transitive therefore the pair (R_5, A) is not (POR).

Examples: 3.19. let $A = Z$. Are the following relations on a set A (POR)?

1) $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a = b + 1$

Since for any $a \in Z$, $a \sim a \Leftrightarrow a \neq a + 1$ then the relation is not reflexive also the pair (\mathcal{R}, A) not (POR)

2) $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a|b$

Reflexive since for any $a \in Z$, $a \sim a \Leftrightarrow a|a$ ($a = 1 \times a$) then the relation is reflexive.

Anti-symmetric Since $\forall a, b \in Z$ If $a \sim b \wedge b \sim a \Rightarrow a|b \wedge b|a \Rightarrow \exists k_1, k_2 \in Z$ such that $b = k_1 a$ and $a = k_2 b \Rightarrow k_1, k_2 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } k_1 = k_2 = 1 \text{ or } k_1 = k_2 = -1$$

$\Rightarrow a = b$ or $a = -b$ then the relation is not anti-symmetric. Hence, the relation is not the pair (\mathcal{R}, A) is not (POR)

Examples: 3.20. Show that (Z, \geq) Partially Ordered Relation (POR)?

Solution: Suppose that R is a relation on a set A that defined as

$R = \{(a, b); a, b \in Z, a \geq b\}$. To show that the pair (R, Z) is (POR)

Reflexive since for any $a \in Z$, $aRa \Leftrightarrow a = a$ then the relation is reflexive.

Anti-symmetric Since $\forall a, b \in Z$ If $aRb \wedge bRa \Rightarrow a \geq b \wedge b \geq a \Rightarrow a = b$ therefore, R is antisymmetric.

Transitive $\forall a, b \in Z$ If $aRb \wedge bRc \Rightarrow a \geq b \wedge b \geq c \Rightarrow a \geq c$ this means that the relation R is transitive

Consequently, (Z, \geq) is Partially Ordered Relation (POR).

Remark: 1. The largest relation $A \times A$ on a set A is not Partially Ordered Relation (POR). (Check)

2. The smallest relation \emptyset on a nonempty set is not Partially Ordered Relation (POR). (Check)

Definition (3.5): Let (\mathcal{R}, A) be a partially ordered set. Then, the elements $a, b \in A$ are called comparable with respect to \mathcal{R} if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ or $(b, a) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Examples: 3.21. Let $A = \{1,2,3\}$ and let

$R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (3,1), (3,2)\}$ be a (POR) on A. Find the comparable elements in A with respect to R

$1R1 \Rightarrow 1,1$ are comparable

$2R2 \Rightarrow 2,2$ are comparable

$3R3 \Rightarrow 3,3$ are comparable

$1R2 \Rightarrow 1,2$ are comparable

$3R1 \Rightarrow 1,3$ are comparable

$2R3 \Rightarrow 2,3$ are comparable

Totally Ordered Relation:

A relation \mathcal{R} on a set A is called a totally Ordered Relation (TOR) if it satisfies the properties

- a. (\mathcal{R}, A) is a partially ordered set.
- b. a and b are comparable, $\forall a, b \in A$

Examples: 3.22. Let $A = \{1,2,3\}$ and let

$R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (3,1), (3,2)\}$. Is the relation R on a set A (TOR)?

Solution:

Reflexive since for any $a \in A$, $aRa \Leftrightarrow$ then the relation is reflexive.

Anti-symmetric Since $\forall a, b \in A$ If $aRb \wedge bRa \Rightarrow a = b$ therefore, R is antisymmetric.

Transitive $\forall a, b \in Z$ If $aRb \wedge bRc \Rightarrow aRc$ this means that the relation R is transitive.

Then, (R, A) is a partially ordered set. Also, from Example 3.21 for each two elements in A are comparable, therefore R on a set A is a totally ordered relation (TOR).

Examples: 3.23. Show that (Z, \geq) Partially Ordered Relation (TOR)?(H.W.)

Exercises

Exercise 1: Let $X = \{1,2,3\}$ and $R = \{(A, B) \in P(X) \times P(X): A \subseteq B\}$

Show that R is a partially ordered relation on $P(X)$

Exercise 2: Give an example of a (POR) that is not (TOR) ?

Exercise 3: Show that (N, \geq) Totally Ordered Relation (TOR)? Discuss (R, \geq) ?

Exercise 4: Let $A = Z$ and $R = \{(a, b) \in Z \times Z: a - b = 5k, k \in Z\}$. Is R is a totally ordered relation (TOR)?

References

1. Smith, P. (2003). *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 9780521008044.
2. Enderton, H. B. (1977). *Elements of set theory*. Academic press.
3. Shoenfield, J. R. (2000). *Mathematical Logic*. A K Peters. ISBN: 9781568811352.
4. Levy, A. (2012). *Basic set theory*. Courier Corporation..

5. Fraenkel, A. (1973). *Foundations of Set Theory*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.