

# أشكالية العدم بين المعتزلة والوجودية - دراسة مقارنة -

أطروحة قدمها

إلى مجلس كلية الآداب في الجامعة المستنصرية  
الطالب (عصام عبودي علي) وهي جزء من  
متطلبات نيل درجة الدكتوراه في الفلسفة

بإشراف  
الأستاذ المساعد الدكتور  
محمد الكبيسي

بإشراف  
الأستاذ الدكتور  
عبد الأمير سعيد الشمري

## **Abstract**

Problematical non- being between mutazila and existentialism.

### Comparison study

The problems of (non-being, existence and being to be, the quiddity) was be taken from human thought and philosophy thought too.

The idea non –being had attention by mutazila and existentialism to find explication to the existence and being to be.

The reason that we choose this study to know why all who study the problem of non being can not reaching to know what it is?

Our subject included on foreword to non –being and four chapters as well as the introduction termination, the references.

1- the first chapter included the problematical existence of non-being, how the nature of non-Being in mutazila and existentialism, then what it was existence or non –being existence, and we must know what was in the middle between non being and the existence.

After all the Mutazila and existentialism we find them told that this non-being was existence not non-being existence.

2- the second chapter included the problematical non-being as existence, then we study how the fashion this existence, intellectual existence or factual existence in Mutazila and existentialism. When the non-being be existence, we study the concrete existence principles of non –being, from it

was existence and there was: (movement and the immobility, time and space, finitude and infinite). But the natural existence principles that is existence there is (substance and the accident, body and the accident.

3- The third chapter included the problematical non- being and the quiddity, from was the quiddity is the foundation of non-being not to be and being to be. Then we study quiddity of the quiddity and the fashion of the relation between non-being and the quiddity, then the fashion of the relation between existence and quiddity.

4- The four chapter included the problematical non- being as being to be, we study the principles of being to be from he was non – being in Mutazila and existentialism and there was (necessary, possibility, impossible), then we study the cause of effect when he was in non-being. Non-being not to be and when he was in existence as being to be, then study the unity and the plurality of this non-being not to be, being to be.

A detailed study of all Mutazilite theories shows great originality and can not be taken from Greek theories or another theories.

Mutazila did not decide anciently of world when they told about possible non-being not to be from is the God who is invention it. But we find the existentialism are partitoned about the ancienty as Sartre who told the existence was ancient.

Mutazila depend on the reason in every there studying but the existentialism depend on analysis concrete.

Mutazila told the existence be given from God to the non-being not to be possible, but this existence in existentialism especially Sartre did not be given from any one.

Mutazila told the human was responsibility about his freedom and all what he was doing from she ribbon the causes by their effects, but we find the existentialism are partitoned about who told there is no God as Sartre and between who told there is existence of God as Heidegger about this Sartre said the human is non- being and he is nothing and there is no causes or effects it is the indeterminism.

The thing and human be given the existence as being to be in mutazila because they are non-being not to be quiddities. But the existence of thing different about the existence of human in existentialism, that the thing can be reached to be existence as being to be in Sartre idea.

On that we find the non –being in mutazila was metaphysics and ontology in existentialism.

At last from the study we find there is difference about looking in mutazila and existentialism, which was optimism in mutazila because she took the non-being existence, but it is pessimism in existentialism because she made the existence non-being in this existence, that which made many problems to the human brain and his humanity.

And we must tell act of mutazila in that time about this world and how it was existence from non-being, it was a great thinking to put a good answers to many questions which it was relation about non-being and existence, comparison with existentialism.

In this explication the difference between metaphysics and ontology in looking to non-being and existence and it explication how the God included the mutazila thinking about did not include the existentialism.

Assam Aboodi Ali