## معلومات عن الباحث والأطروحة

الاسم: حسن هادي محمد عباس التميمى.

عنوان الأطروحة: البحث البلاغي عند الأصوليين.

اسم المشرف: أ.م.د. عبد الرحمن شهاب أحمد.

عنوان الطالب: محافظة ديالي / قضاء المقدادية / حي النضال.

رقم الهاتف: ( ۷۲۱۸۵۰) ، مفتاح دیالی ( ۲۰).

تاريخ المناقشة: ٣٠ / ١١ تشرين الثاني \_ شعبان / ٢٠٠٤.

## ملخص الأطروحة باللغة الإنكليزية

## **Abstract**

Title: The rhetoric research with the fundamentalists.

<u>Preface</u>: I have demonstrated what the research contained and what the fundamentalists had been ahead of (doctrine scientists) others in studying the meaning. Their pursue of studying the language is to reach and define the actual meaning but not the sentimental psychologically effective meaning only. I have demonstrated various kinds of dependant resources such as the basics, the rhetoric and the indication plan of the research method has been done as follows:-

<u>Chapter One</u>: Introduction included prefaces known as the fundamentalists study of the language and the link between the rhetoric and doctrine basics. Language conception and speech to them, appropriate expression and meaning, conception of language situation and the indication research devide in considering the speaker and the listener to: true and additional for the full meaning: to identical, content and obligation and then eloquence conception which is the aim of proper research to expose the meaning in different ways.

Chapter Two: This chapter handled the indication partitions in the first form of expressions from the exhaustive point of view of the individuals and the minorities, for the public and private and assigning the public with expressional inferences or actual and what importance the fundamentalists specialized in exception because it is expressively considered assigned for the public. This goes into the private: the actual, the restricted the decision maker and the orderly. The version of order has taken a wide range of research with them, because of its relation with the legitimate values. It also undertook the combined expression. It appeared on some of the fundamentalists what would be of purely minded method ignorant of the actual use of language where as they sight in general the language situation and reading of its uses, state and combinations and explanation as well.

<u>Chapter Three</u>: This chapter handled the language by its uses for understanding and illustrated for truth and figuration what indication changes they might acquire in kind and reason and the most important thing that direct the indication with them is the wide usage, and what link the load of expression if that occurred between a combined state, figuration and definition.

Chapter Four: This chapter handled the understanding of speech by the listener and what presented to him of clarity and ambiguity which a creation of the fundamentalists. Their study is distinguished by its accurate definition to the ranks of clarity and ambiguity and the means of explaining ambiguity in the versions which has a strong ties in indicating the meaning from the thoughtful and decisive point of view and it has to be considered when there is contradiction between evidences and preference of meaning.

<u>Chapter Five</u>: This chapter has handled the procedure which the fundamentalists have exposed its wide meaning and passed the speakers. They disconnected its elements from the basics of speech: the speaker, the spoken to, the speech and what surround it of actual evidences, environmental and expressional which has a serious impact on learning the meaning.

Chapter Six: It handled the versions evidence methods on the meanings since the version are distant and the occurrences that undertake it are not distant which drived them to this study since the meanings of speech indicate the same expressions or its meaning that it require or in its contents or what would be understood the abuse of the speech restrictions. This is not a discordant evidences and they had defined its positions in the power of evidence, the word of version followed by content – to the public – indication- to the hanaf – and evidence of requirement. They nere in disagreement in taking the meaning of violation, it freed the difference in that.

I gradually continued the research since evidence of speech is in its expressions and its uses and then its acceptance by the listener and his meaning in his expressions before his senses in his meanings.

I hope that you will excuse me for my mistakes if there is any and thank GOD for everything.