

## المستخلص

فائزة ثعبان منسي. المباحث النحوية في كتاب رياض السالكين في شرح صحيفة سيد الساجدين للسيد علي خان الحسيني المدني الشيرازي المتوفى ١١٢٠ هـ. (رسالة ماجستير).- الجامعة المستنصرية : كلية الاداب : قسم اللغة العربية ، ٢٠١٠ .

The present thesis covers the syntactic issues encoded in the alone – mentioned commentarial book . The researcher divides those issues into three chapter proceeded by an introduction and preliminaries , and followed by a conclusion where the thesis findings are explained .

The preliminaries high light three axes , the first tackles an auto biographical survey about Imam Ali bin al- Hussein (p.) , the author of the honorable book " heat of the prostrates " , the second revolves around the Imam's honorable book , while third sheds light an the commentator's scientific efforts and the status his book " the commentary " has gained .

Moreover , the first chapter in the present thesis falls into two sections , in the first , the researcher explains the research methodology al- shirazi follows to present his syntactic issues like: parsing multi – readings , syntactic interpretation , contrast , probabilities and doubtfulness , and shortcomings and corrections .

The second section illustrates al- shirazi's syntactic school , resources , responses and terminology .

The second chapter is divided into two sections ; the first involves the fundamentals of syntactic debate such as hearing , analogy , consensus , and the citing of occurrences .

While the second casts light on the syntactic reason and dependents .

Like the previous ones , the third chapter is in two sections , the first describes the syntactic phenomena including implicative , ellipsis , semantically decoded meaning , expansion , and al- nasab ala rafh al- khafid ; and the second section explains the syntactic patterns such as exception , interrogatireness , fronting & postponing , emphasis , conditionality , evocativeness , and prohibition .

The methodology I have followed in the present study is that I survey the scholars' ideas concerning the syntactic issue under investigation , then I end with al- shirazi's , if he mentioned any .

In this context , al- shirazi's opinions may go in line with a certain school , may not , in-between , or he may distance himself with new unprecedented ones . In addition I may cast my own viewpoint over the respective issue .

I conclude the present study with the most important findings I reached , bibliography , and an English abstract .

My research methodology is survey and description – based one where I examine the commentator's syntactic material and his examples , then I track them in other grammarians' books to check whether his ideas are in line with the others' or he sets his own line . In this way , I would identify the grammar school to which he belongs , his terminology and from where did he adopt this or that opinion ?